

[MS-MAIL-Diff]:

Remote Mailslot Protocol

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation (“this documentation”) for protocols, file formats, data portability, computer languages, and standards support. Additionally, overview documents cover inter-protocol relationships and interactions.
- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you can make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies that are described in this documentation and can distribute portions of it in your implementations that use these technologies or in your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You can also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schemas, IDLs, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications documentation.
- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that might cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications documentation. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of this documentation grants any licenses under those patents or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specifications document might be covered by the Microsoft [Open Specifications Promise](#) or the [Microsoft Community Promise](#). If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in this documentation are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplg@microsoft.com.
- **License Programs.** To see all of the protocols in scope under a specific license program and the associated patents, visit the [Patent Map](#).
- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation might be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit www.microsoft.com/trademarks.
- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, email addresses, logos, people, places, and events that are depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than as specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications documentation does not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments, you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications documents are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standards specifications and network programming art and, as such, assume that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Support. For questions and support, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
12/18/2006	0.01	New	Version 0.01 release
3/2/2007	1.0	Major	Version 1.0 release
4/3/2007	1.1	Minor	Version 1.1 release
5/11/2007	1.2	Minor	Version 1.2 release
6/1/2007	1.2.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
7/3/2007	1.2.2	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
7/20/2007	1.2.3	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
8/10/2007	1.2.4	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
9/28/2007	1.2.5	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
10/23/2007	1.2.6	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
11/30/2007	1.2.7	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
1/25/2008	1.2.8	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
3/14/2008	1.2.9	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
5/16/2008	2.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
6/20/2008	2.0.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
7/25/2008	2.0.2	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
8/29/2008	2.0.3	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
10/24/2008	2.0.4	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
12/5/2008	3.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
1/16/2009	3.0.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
2/27/2009	3.0.2	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
4/10/2009	3.0.3	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
5/22/2009	3.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
7/2/2009	3.1.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
8/14/2009	3.2	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
9/25/2009	4.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
11/6/2009	4.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
12/18/2009	5.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
1/29/2010	6.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
3/12/2010	6.0.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
4/23/2010	6.0.2	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
6/4/2010	6.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
7/16/2010	6.1	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
8/27/2010	6.1	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/8/2010	7.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
11/19/2010	8.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
1/7/2011	8.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
2/11/2011	8.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
3/25/2011	8.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
5/6/2011	8.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
6/17/2011	8.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
9/23/2011	8.1	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
12/16/2011	9.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
3/30/2012	9.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/12/2012	9.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
10/25/2012	9.1	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
1/31/2013	9.1	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
8/8/2013	10.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
11/14/2013	10.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
2/13/2014	10.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
5/15/2014	10.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
6/30/2015	11.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
10/16/2015	11.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/14/2016	11.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
6/1/2017	11.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
9/15/2017	12.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Glossary	6
1.2	References	6
1.2.1	Normative References	6
1.2.2	Informative References	7
1.3	Overview	7
1.4	Relationship to Other Protocols	7
1.5	Prerequisites/Preconditions	7
1.6	Applicability Statement	7
1.7	Versioning and Capability Negotiation	8
1.8	Vendor-Extensible Fields	8
1.9	Standards Assignments	8
2	Messages	9
2.1	Transport	9
2.2	Message Syntax	9
2.2.1	Mailslot Write Message	9
3	Protocol Details	13
3.1	Client Details	13
3.1.1	Abstract Data Model	13
3.1.2	Timers	13
3.1.3	Initialization	13
3.1.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events	13
3.1.4.1	Application Writes to a Mailslot	13
3.1.5	Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules	14
3.1.6	Timer Events	14
3.1.7	Other Local Events	14
3.2	Server Details	14
3.2.1	Abstract Data Model	14
3.2.1.1	Global	14
3.2.1.2	Per Mailslot	14
3.2.2	Timers	14
3.2.3	Initialization	14
3.2.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events	15
3.2.4.1	Application Creates a Mailslot	15
3.2.4.2	Application Reads from a Mailslot	15
3.2.4.3	Application Closes a Mailslot	16
3.2.5	Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules	16
3.2.5.1	Server Receives a Mailslot Write	16
3.2.6	Timer Events	17
3.2.7	Other Local Events	17
4	Protocol Examples	18
5	Security	20
5.1	Security Considerations for Implementers	20
5.2	Index of Security Parameters	20
6	Appendix A: Product Behavior	21
7	Change Tracking	24
8	Index	25

1 Introduction

The Remote Mailslot Protocol is a simple, unreliable, insecure, and unidirectional interprocess communications (IPC) protocol between a client and server. A mailslot server creates a mailslot, and a mailslot client writes messages to the mailslot created by the server. The server then reads these messages, thus achieving communication between the client and server. A mailslot is represented locally on the server as a file.

Sections 1.5, 1.8, 1.9, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1 Glossary

This document uses the following terms:

little-endian: Multiple-byte values that are byte-ordered with the least significant byte stored in the memory location with the lowest address.

named pipe: A named, one-way, or duplex pipe for communication between a pipe server and one or more pipe clients.

NetBIOS datagram service: An implementation of NetBIOS services in a datagram environment as specified in [RFC1001] section 17.

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as defined in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

Links to a document in the Microsoft Open Specifications library point to the correct section in the most recently published version of the referenced document. However, because individual documents in the library are not updated at the same time, the section numbers in the documents may not match. You can confirm the correct section numbering by checking the Errata.

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information.

[MS-BRWS] Microsoft Corporation, "Common Internet File System (CIFS) Browser Protocol".

[MS-CIFS] Microsoft Corporation, "Common Internet File System (CIFS) Protocol".

[MS-DTYP] Microsoft Corporation, "Windows Data Types".

[MS-NRPC] Microsoft Corporation, "Netlogon Remote Protocol".

[MS-SMB] Microsoft Corporation, "Server Message Block (SMB) Protocol".

[NETBEUI] IBM Corporation, "LAN Technical Reference: 802.2 and NetBIOS APIs", 1986, http://publibz.boulder.ibm.com/cgi-bin/bookmgr_OS390/BOOKS/BK8P7001/CCONTENTS

[RFC1001] Network Working Group, "Protocol Standard for a NetBIOS Service on a TCP/UDP Transport: Concepts and Methods", RFC 1001, March 1987, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1001.txt>

[RFC1002] Network Working Group, "Protocol Standard for a NetBIOS Service on a TCP/UDP Transport: Detailed Specifications", STD 19, RFC 1002, March 1987, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1002.txt>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

1.2.2 Informative References

[MSLOT] Microsoft Corporation, "Mailslots", <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa365576.aspx>

[PIPE] Microsoft Corporation, "Named Pipes", <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa365590.aspx>

1.3 Overview

The Remote Mailslot Protocol is a simple, unreliable, insecure, and unidirectional interprocess communications (IPC) protocol between a client and server or among a group of servers that use the NetBIOS datagram service (as specified in [RFC1001] section 17) as the transport protocol. A mailslot server creates a mailslot, and a mailslot client writes messages to the mailslot created by the server. The server then reads these messages, thus achieving communication between the client and server applications. If the server closes the mailslot, the client will no longer be able to send messages to this mailslot.

This protocol specifies a means of carrying SMB_COM_TRANSACTION messages (as specified in section 2.2.1) over a NetBIOS datagram service. The sender of the mailslot message formats the SMB_COM_TRANSACTION message and sends it as a NetBIOS datagram. This protocol is not transported over SMB.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

The Remote Mailslot Protocol relies on the transport mechanisms of the NetBIOS datagram service (as specified in section 2.1).

The Remote Mailslot Protocol is used by the Netlogon Remote Protocol, as described in [MS-NRPC] to locate domain controllers. The Netlogon Remote Protocol uses "\\MAILSLOT\NET\NETLOGON".

The Remote Mailslot Protocol is used by the Common Internet File System (CIFS) Browser Protocol, as described in [MS-BRWS] to accomplish inter-machine communication. The Common Internet File System (CIFS) Browser Protocol uses "\\MAILSLOT\LANMAN" and "\\MAILSLOT\BROWSE".

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

The server needs to have a NetBIOS name registered, as described in [RFC1001] section 15. The higher-layer application that uses the Remote Mailslot Protocol needs to know the NetBIOS name of the server to which it is trying to connect. The higher-layer application needs to also know the name of the mailslot.

1.6 Applicability Statement

Remote mailslot messages are used in scenarios that require sending simple, short messages to one or more computers on the network. Neither the sender nor the receiver can expect reliable or ordered delivery of these messages.

Due to the unordered, unreliable, and unidirectional nature of the Remote Mailslot Protocol, it is recommended that clients that need a more robust or bidirectional communication mechanism with the server use other, more reliable protocols such as named pipes, as specified in [PIPE]. Also,

because the Remote Mailslot Protocol has no authentication, it is unsuitable for applications requiring a secure communication between the sender and receiver.<1>

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

The Remote Mailslot Protocol does not contain any version or capability negotiation.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9 Standards Assignments

There are no standards assignments other than those implied by the use of the NetBIOS datagram service, as specified in [RFC1001] section 17.

2 Messages

The Remote Mailslot Protocol defines exactly one message: a mailslot write command.

2.1 Transport

Mailslot writes are delivered over the NetBIOS datagram service by using one of the following transports:

- NetBIOS over UDP datagram service, as specified in [RFC1001] section 5.4. For this transport, the maximum allowed size for the **MailslotName** and **Databytes** fields (see section 2.2.1) of a mailslot write message is 443 bytes.
- NetBIOS over IPX.
- NetBIOS Extended User Interface, as specified in [NETBEUI].

For NetBIOS Extended User Interface and NetBIOS over IPX, the maximum size is dependent on the frame size of the underlying physical media. When using UDP as the underlying transport, the protocol implementation SHOULD restrict the maximum allowed data in the **MailslotName** and **Databytes** fields (see section 2.2.1) of a mailslot write message to no more than 443 bytes.

2.2 Message Syntax

A mailslot write message shares the structure of **SMB_COM_TRANSACTION**, as specified in [MS-CIFS] section 2.2.4.33, and redefines some of the structure fields as specified in section 2.2.1.

This section specifies the syntax of the Transaction SMB data structure as it applies to a mailslot write message. The byte ordering used is little-endian unless specified otherwise.

2.2.1 Mailslot Write Message

The SMB_COM_TRANSACTION data structure (see [MS-CIFS] section 2.2.4.33) for a mailslot write message required to be as follows:

Note The empty fields in the following table represent the continuation of the fields preceding them.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
SMB Header (32 bytes)																															
...																															
...																															
WordCount								TotalParameterCount																TotalDataCount							
...								MaxParameterCount																MaxDataCount							
...								MaxSetupCount								Reserved								Flags							
...								Timeout																							

...	Reserved2	ParameterCount
...	ParameterOffset	DataCount
...	DataOffset	SetupCount
Reserved3	MailSlotOpcode	Priority
...	Class	ByteCount
...	MailslotName (variable)	
...		
Padding (variable)		
...		
Databytes (variable)		
...		

SMB Header (32 bytes): The format of the 32-byte SMB header is specified in [MS-SMB] section 2.2.3.1. For a mailslot write message, the header fields are required to be set to the following values:

- **Protocol:** MUST be set to (0xFF, 'S', 'M', 'B'), as specified in [MS-SMB] section 2.2.3.1.
- **Command:** MUST be set to 0x25 to represent the SMB_COM_TRANSACTION, as specified in [MS-SMB] section 2.2.3.1.
- **Status:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **Flags:** SHOULD<3> be set to 0x18 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **Flags2:** SHOULD<4> be set to 0x04 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **PidHigh:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **SecurityFeatures:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **TID:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **PIDLow:** SHOULD<5> be set to 0xFEFF and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **UID:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **MID:** SHOULD be set to 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt.

WordCount (1 byte): An unsigned 8-bit integer that specifies the count of words that occur between the **WordCount** field and the **ByteCount** field. For a mailslot write request, the **WordCount** value MUST be set to 0x11.

TotalParameterCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the number of bytes in the parameter buffer. For a mailslot write request, the **TotalParameterCount** value MUST be set

to zero and be ignored on receipt. Because this value MUST be set to zero, it indicates that no parameter array is being sent in this request.

TotalDataCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the number of bytes in the **DataBytes** field. For a mailslot write request, the **TotalDataCount** value MUST be set to the size in bytes of the **DataBytes** field to be sent to the receiver. This value is always the same as the **DataCount** field for a mailslot write request.

MaxParameterCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer field. For a mailslot write request, the **MaxParameterCount** value SHOULD<6> be set to zero and MUST be ignored on receipt.

MaxDataCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer field. For a mailslot write request, the **MaxDataCount** field MUST be set to zero and MUST be ignored on receipt.

MaxSetupCount (1 byte): An unsigned 8-bit integer field. For a mailslot write request, the **MaxSetupCount** field MUST be set to zero and MUST be ignored on receipt.

Reserved (1 byte): An unsigned 8-bit integer reserved for future use. The **Reserved** field MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt.

Flags (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that consists of a set of options that provide additional information to the server on this request. The client MAY set either of the bits shown in the following table. Unused bit fields SHOULD be set to 0 by the client when sending a request and MUST be ignored when received by the server.

Value	Meaning
DISCONNECT_TID 0x0001	The server MUST disconnect the tree connect associated with the Tid received in the SMB header of this request after the request is completed. The client SHOULD NOT send an SMB_COM_TREE_DISCONNECT for this tree connect.
NO_RESPONSE 0x0002	The server MUST process this client request as a one-way transaction and MUST NOT send a response back to the client.<7>

Timeout (4 bytes): An unsigned 32-bit integer that represents the maximum amount of time in milliseconds to wait for the operation to be completed. The client MAY set this field to 0 to indicate that no time-out is given. If the operation is not completed within the specified time, the server MAY abort the request and send a failure response.<8>

Reserved2 (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer reserved for future use. The **Reserved2** field MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt.

ParameterCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the count of bytes in the parameter buffer of this packet. For a mailslot write request, the **ParameterCount** field MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt. Because this value MUST be set to zero, it indicates that no parameter array is being sent in this request.

ParameterOffset (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the offset in bytes from the beginning of the SMB_COM_TRANSACTION packet to where the parameter buffer begins.

DataCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the count of bytes in the **DataBytes** field. For a mailslot write request, the **DataCount** field MUST be set to the size in bytes of the **DataBytes** field to be sent to the receiver. This value is always the same as the **TotalDataCount** field.

DataOffset (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that specifies the offset to the **DataBytes** field in this packet. For a mailslot write request, the **DataOffset** field MUST be set to the offset of the **Databytes** field from the beginning of the SMB_COM_TRANSACTION message.

SetupCount (1 byte): An unsigned 8-bit integer that MUST be set to 0x03.

Reserved3 (1 byte): An unsigned 8-bit integer reserved for future use. The **Reserved3** field MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt.

MailSlotOpcode (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer. The **MailSlotOpcode** field MUST be set to 0x0001.

Priority (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that represents the numeric priority of the message being written to the mailslot. The **Priority** field MUST be in the range of 0 through 9. The larger the value, the higher the priority.<9>

Class (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that represents the class of the mailslot request.<10> The **Class** field MUST be set to one of the following values.

Value	Meaning
Class 1 0x0001	A first-class mailslot is reliable and guarantees delivery of the message. This class MAY transmit messages of up to 65,535 bytes. Messages to class 1 mailslots MUST not be broadcast.
Class 2 0x0002	A second-class mailslot is unreliable and does not guarantee delivery of the message. This class MAY transmit messages up to a maximum length that depends on the configuration of the server but will never be less than 360 bytes. Messages to class 2 mailslots MAY be broadcast, which allows a message to be sent to a particular mailslot on all systems.

ByteCount (2 bytes): An unsigned 16-bit integer that MUST specify the number of bytes that follow this field. For a mailslot write request, the **ByteCount** field MAY be ignored on receipt, and the number of bytes that follow this field is determined by using the values in the **DataOffset** and **DataCount** fields.<11>

MailslotName (variable): A null-terminated, case-insensitive ASCII string that denotes the name of the mailslot to which the message is being sent. The string in the **MailslotName** field MUST be of the form "\mailslot\

Padding (variable): Padding data. The **Padding** field MUST be large enough so that the **DataBytes** field is 32-bit aligned. To that end, this field MUST be 0 through 3 bytes long, inclusive. The **Padding** field MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt.

Databytes (variable): Buffer containing the mailslot message to be delivered to the server. The size of the mailslot message MUST NOT exceed the maximum allowed size, as specified in section 2.1.

3 Protocol Details

3.1 Client Details

The Remote Mailslot Protocol clients are higher-layer applications that use the mailslot protocol to send a message to the server, as described in section 3.1.4.1. Because this is an unreliable, unidirectional protocol, there is neither a connection phase nor an acknowledgment from the server for the send from the client.

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

None.

3.1.2 Timers

None.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

3.1.4.1 Application Writes to a Mailslot

The application provides the following:

TargetName: The 16-character NetBIOS name of the target to which the mailslot message MUST be sent. The name MAY be a "unique name" or a "group name" as specified in [RFC1001] section 5.2.

MailslotName: The name of the mailslot on the target server to which the message MUST be delivered.

Message: The mailslot message to be sent. The maximum length of the message is limited by the underlying transport protocol.

On receipt of a request from a higher-layer application to send a mailslot message, the client mailslot implementation MUST package the data to be sent and the destination mailslot name in a **SMB_COM_TRANSACTION** data structure by filling in the various fields, as specified in section 2.2.1. The **MailslotName** in the **SMB_COM_TRANSACTION** request MUST be of the form "\MAILSLOT\MailslotName."

The client MUST send the **SMB_COM_TRANSACTION** request to **TargetName** using the "send datagram" primitive described in [RFC1001] section 5.4. The NetBIOS datagram service on the client machine determines whether the supplied **TargetName** is a "unique" or "group" name by using one of the mechanisms described in [RFC1001] section 15.1.2 and section 15.3. Based on the type of name, it sends a NetBIOS datagram to the target(s) as described in [RFC1001] section 17. <13>

The Remote Mailslot Protocol itself does not provide for multi-packet segmentation. As a result, if the invoking application specifies data that is too large to be handled by the NetBIOS datagram service, the request MUST be failed with an implementation-specific error.

3.1.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

Multipacket segmentation is not supported by the Remote Mailslot Protocol. If the packet is too large to fit into a single NetBIOS datagram, it MUST be discarded.

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

3.2 Server Details

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

The Remote Mailslot Protocol servers require higher-layer applications (running on the server) that use the protocol to specify individual mailslots. Each mailslot MUST be identified by an ASCII name that is unique for that server. The name MUST follow the format "\mailslot\", where <name> MUST be the unique name of the mailslot for that server. Names are not case sensitive.

The server maintains a lookup table for the list of active mailslots indexed by the mailslot name. Each active mailslot has a queue, called the message queue, associated with it. This message queue holds pending incoming mailslot messages. The length of the queue is implementation specific.<14>

3.2.1.1 Global

The following element is part of the abstract data model for MS-MAIL servers:

MailslotList : A list of active mailslots on the system.

3.2.1.2 Per Mailslot

The following elements are part of the abstract data model for each mailslot on a mailslot server:

Mailslot.Name : The name of the mailslot, which has the format described in section 3.2.1.

Mailslot.MessageQueue : A queue of pending, incoming mailslot messages received on the mailslot. The length of the queue is implementation-specific.<15>

3.2.2 Timers

None.

3.2.3 Initialization

The server MUST initialize MailslotList (section 3.2.1.1) to an empty list.

The server MUST register with the NetBIOS datagram service to receive mailslot messages that are sent as NetBIOS datagrams. Based on the designated role(s) of the server, the following NetBIOS names MUST be registered. The role of the server MUST be determined by querying the current server configuration by calling the abstract interface **ServerGetInfo** specified in [MS-DTYP] section 2.6, specifying a level of 101. The resulting **bufptr** contains a **SERVER_INFO_101** structure, as specified in [MS-DTYP] section 2.3.12. The value of the field **sv101** type determines the following initialization:

- All servers MUST register their computer names to receive NetBIOS datagrams directed to the computer. The computer name MUST be converted to a valid NetBIOS name by padding it with spaces to the right, up to 15 characters. The 16th character MUST be set to 0. The resulting name MUST be registered with NetBIOS as a "unique name" as described in [RFC1001] section 15.1.1.
- If **sv101** type contains **SV_TYPE_WORKSTATION**, the server MUST register with the NetBIOS datagram service to receive NetBIOS datagrams directed to all computers in the domain. The domain name MUST be converted to a valid NetBIOS name by padding it with spaces to the right, up to 15 characters. The 16th character MUST be set to 0. The resulting name MUST be registered with NetBIOS as a "group name" as described in [RFC1001] section 15.1.1.
- If **sv101** type contains **SV_TYPE_DOMAIN_CTRL** or **SV_TYPE_DOMAIN_BACKCTRL**, the server MUST register with the NetBIOS datagram service to receive NetBIOS datagrams directed to all domain controllers in the domain. The domain name MUST be converted to a valid NetBIOS name by padding it with spaces to the right, up to 15 characters. The 16th character MUST be set to 0x1c. The resulting name MUST be registered with NetBIOS as a "group name" as described in [RFC1001] section 15.1.1.
- If **sv101** contains **SV_TYPE_DOMAIN_CTRL**, the server MUST register with the NetBIOS datagram service to receive NetBIOS datagrams directed to the primary domain controller in the domain. The domain name MUST be converted to a valid NetBIOS name by padding it with spaces to the right, up to 15 characters. The 16th character MUST be set to 0x1b. The resulting name MUST be registered with NetBIOS as a "unique name" as described in [RFC1001] section 15.1.1.

For more information about receiving broadcast NetBIOS datagrams by NetBIOS datagram service, see [RFC1001] section 17.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

The Remote Mailslot Protocol server MUST expose interfaces to upper-layer applications to allow them to create, read, and close mailslots on the server, as specified in this section.

3.2.4.1 Application Creates a Mailslot

The application provides the following data:

- The name of the mailslot in the format specified in section 3.2.1.

On a mailslot create request from an application running on the server, the server MUST search through the mailslots contained in **MailslotList** (section 3.2.1.1) to find a mailslot for which **Mailslot.Name** (section 3.2.1.2) matches the application-supplied name.

- If a match is found, the server MUST fail the request with an implementation-specific error.
- If a match is not found, the server MUST create a new mailslot, initialize **Mailslot.MessageQueue** (section 3.2.1.2) to an empty queue, and add the newly-created mailslot to **MailslotList**.

3.2.4.2 Application Reads from a Mailslot

The application provides the following data:

- The name of the mailslot to read from, in the format specified in section 3.2.1.

The server MUST search **MailslotList** (section 3.2.1.1) for a mailslot whose **Mailslot.Name** field (section 3.2.1.2) matches the application-supplied name.

- If a match is not found, the server MUST return an implementation-specific error to the caller.

- If a match is found and **Mailslot.MessageQueue** (section 3.2.1.2) is empty, the server MAY block until a message arrives in the queue, wait for an implementation-specific timeout interval, or return an error.<16>

If a message is available in **Mailslot.MessageQueue**, the message MUST be deleted from the head of the queue and returned to the application.

3.2.4.3 Application Closes a Mailslot

The application provides the following data:

- The name of the mailslot to close, in the format specified in section 3.2.1.

The server MUST search **MailslotList** (section 3.2.1.1) for a mailslot whose **Mailslot.Name** field (section 3.2.1.2) matches the application-supplied name.

- If the server does not find a match, it MUST return an implementation-specific error to the caller.
- If the server finds a match, it MUST perform the following steps:
 - Delete all messages from **Mailslot.MessageQueue** (section 3.2.1.2).
 - Remove the mailslot from **MailslotList**.
 - Delete the mailslot.

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

3.2.5.1 Server Receives a Mailslot Write

On receiving a mailslot write request from a client, the mailslot server MUST verify that the request conforms to the syntax specified in section 2.2.1. If either the format or the contents do not conform to the specified syntax, the mailslot server MUST ignore and discard the request.

For all valid requests, the mailslot server MUST read the name of the mailslot from the **MailslotName** field of the request (see section 2.2.1).

The mailslot server MUST search **MailslotList** (section 3.2.1.1) for a mailslot whose **Mailslot.Name** field (section 3.2.1.2) matches the name of the mailslot in the request.

- If the server does not find a match, it MUST ignore and discard the request.
- If the server finds a match, it MUST read the **DataOffset** field of the request (see section 3.2.1.2) to calculate the offset from the beginning of the SMB_COM_TRANSACTION message to the **Databytes** field (see section 3.2.1.2) that contains the mailslot message.

Note Only the act of adding a message to the mailslot needs to be atomic, not the entire block of operations from reading, parsing, and updating.

The mailslot server MUST read the **DataCount** field of the request (see section 2.2.1) to determine the size of the mailslot message and MUST read the number of bytes given in the **DataCount** field, beginning at the start of the **Databytes** buffer, to obtain the actual mailslot message. The mailslot server MUST attempt to add the actual message atomically to the tail of the queue specified in **Mailslot.MessageQueue** (section 3.2.1.2). If the message cannot be added to the message queue for any reason, the mailslot server MUST discard the request.

3.2.6 Timer Events

None.

3.2.7 Other Local Events

None.

4 Protocol Examples

Mailslots are supported by three higher-level specialized functions, **CreateMailslot**, **GetMailslotInfo**, and **SetMailslotInfo**. These functions are used by the mailslot server. Note that none of these translate into SMB commands, as specified in [MS-SMB] section 2.2.3.1.

It is recommended that **CreateMailslot** create a local mailslot, return the server-side handle to this mailslot, and map to the higher-level server-side event (see section 3.2.4.1). **GetMailslotInfo** and **SetMailslotInfo** are server-specific configurations about how long the server will block a read request while waiting for the message to arrive before failing back to the application, and they are implementation-specific API for local operations and not related to how the protocol functions.

The client would call the **CreateFile** function to open a mailslot and then call the **WriteFile** function (for more information, see [MSLOT]) to write to it. It is this write file call that generates traffic, as specified in section 3.1.4.1.

The following network traffic capture depicts the protocol message sequence for a mailslot write from a client to a mailslot server with the mailslot name `\MAILSLOT\test1\sample_mailslot`. This network trace is generated when a client application invokes the Remote Mailslot Protocol to send a mailslot message to a remote server, as specified in section 3.1.4.1. For a detailed description of the fields in this example, see section 2.2.1.

FRAME 1 – SMB_COM_TRANSACTION MailSlot Write

Unparsed:

```
FF 53 4D 42 25 00 00 00 00 18 04 00 00 00 00 00
  SMB%.
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 FF FE 00 00 00 00
  .....ÿb.....
11 00 00 24 00 02 00 00 00 00 02 00 00 00 00 00
  ..$.
00 00 00 00 00 68 00 24 00 68 00 03 00 01 00 00
  ....h.$h.....
00 02 00 47 00 5C 4D 41 49 4C 53 4C 4F 54 5C 74
  ..G.\MAILSLOT\te
65 73 74 31 5C 73 61 6D 70 6C 65 5F 6D 61 69 6C
  stl\sample_mails
73 6C 6F 74 00 00 00 00 00 CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
  lot...ÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊ
CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
  ÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊ
CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
  ÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊÊ
```

Parsed:

```
Smb: C; Transact, Mail Slots, Write Mail Slot,
  FileName = \MAILSLOT\test1\sample_mailslot
Protocol: SMB
Command: Transact 37(0x25)
DOSError: No Error
ErrorClass: No Error
Reserved: 0 (0x0)
Error: No Error
SMBHeader: Command, TID: 0x0000, PID: 0xFEFF,
UID: 0x0000, MID: 0x0000
Flags: 24 (0x18)
Flags2: 4 (0x4)
PIDHigh: 0 (0x0)
SecuritySignature: 0x0
Reserved: 0 (0x0)
TreeID: 0 (0x0)
ProcessID: 65279 (0xFEFF)
```

UserID: 0 (0x0)
MultiplexID: 0 (0x0)
CTransaction:
WordCount: 17 (0x11)
TotalParameterCount: 0 (0x0)
TotalDataCount: 36 (0x24)
MaxParameterCount: 2 (0x2)
MaxDataCount: 0 (0x0)
MaxSetupCount: 0 (0x0)
Reserved1: 0 (0x0)
Flags: Do not disconnect TID
Timeout: 0 sec(s)
Reserved2: 0 (0x0)
ParameterCount: 0 (0x0)
ParameterOffset: 104 (0x68)
DataCount: 36 (0x24)
DataOffset: 104 (0x68)
SetupCount: 3 (0x3)
Reserved3: 0 (0x0)
MailSlotsSetupWords:
MailSlotOpcode: Write Mail Slot
TransactionPriority: 0 (0x0)
MailSlotClass: Unreliable & Broadcast
ByteCount: 71 (0x47)
MailSlotsBuffer:
FileName: \MAILSLOT\test1\sample_mailslot
Pad2: Binary Large Object (3 Bytes)
MailSlotData: Binary Large Object (36 Bytes)
CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
CA CA CA CA

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

The Remote Mailslot Protocol is not a secure protocol. Do not use the Remote Mailslot Protocol if applications need secure communication between client and server.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include ~~released service packs~~updates to those products.

- Windows NT operating system
- Windows 2000 operating system
- Windows XP operating system
- Windows Server 2003 operating system
- Windows Vista operating system
- Windows Server 2008 operating system
- Windows 7 operating system
- Windows Server 2008 R2 operating system
- Windows 8 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 operating system
- Windows 8.1 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 R2 operating system
- Windows 10 operating system
- Windows Server 2016 operating system
- Windows Server operating system

Exceptions, if any, are noted below in this section. If ~~a~~an update version, service pack or ~~Quick-Fix Engineering (QFE)~~Knowledge Base (KB) number appears with ~~the~~the product ~~version name~~name, the behavior changed in that ~~service pack or QFE update~~service pack or QFE update. The new behavior also applies to subsequent ~~service packs of the product~~updates unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms "SHOULD" or "SHOULD NOT" implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term "MAY" implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

<1> Section 1.6: Windows supports the Remote Mailslot Protocol.

<2> Section 2.1: The use of NetBIOS over IPX or NetBEUI is deprecated and not used by default. NetBIOS datagrams over TCP/IP (NBT datagrams), as defined in [RFC1001] and [RFC1002], is the default transport used by the Windows implementation of the Remote Mailslot Protocol.

- When using NBT datagrams the Windows implementation limits the maximum size of a Mailslot write request (including the SMB header, the TRANSACT request, the name of the Mailslot, any padding, and the data to be written) to 512 bytes. The maximum size of the write payload depends on the length of the Mailslot name and any padding added to the request by the client.

The length of the write payload in bytes is given by:

432 – ROUND_UP_TO_DWORD (Mailslot name length, excluding the \mailslot\ prefix.)

The following table shows the relationship:

Mailslot name length (\mailslot\ <n chars>)<="" th=""><th>Max Mailslot write size (in bytes)</th></n>	Max Mailslot write size (in bytes)
1-4 characters	428
5-8 characters	424
9-12 characters	420
13-16 characters	416

<3> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows ~~servers~~Server releases set this field to 0.

<4> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows ~~servers~~Server releases set this field to 0.

<5> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows ~~servers~~Server releases set this field to 0.

<6> Section 2.2.1: Windows ~~clients~~setClient operating system sets this field to 0x2.

<7> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows~~-based servers~~Server releases set the **Flags** field to 0 when this request is received.

<8> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows~~-based servers~~Server releases ignore the **Timeout** field when the request is received.

<9> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows~~-based servers~~Server releases ignore the **Priority** field when the request is received.

<10> Section 2.2.1: Applicable Windows~~-based servers~~Server releases ignore the **Class** field when the request is received.

<11> Section 2.2.1: Windows implementations ignore this field.

<12> Section 2.2.1: Windows supports multiple directory levels in the mailslot name and passes such names in the mailslot write operation if the application requests it.

<13> Section 3.1.4.1: The NetBIOS datagram is formatted as described in [RFC1002] sections 4.4.1 and 4.4.2. For group names, **MSG_TYPE** is set to 0x11 (DIRECT_GROUP). For unique names, **MSG_TYPE** is 0x10 (DIRECT_UNIQUE). In all cases the Flags will be as follows:

- M – clear (0x00)
- F – set (0x01)
- SNT – local node type. Windows uses a fourth node type referred to as h-node. This is the default type and has a SNT value of 0x11, which the RFC specifies for use by the NetBIOS Datagram Distribution (NBDD) node.
- RESERVED – clear (0x00)

For group names, if the name data lists only a single entry for the broadcast address (and the node is not a p-node), a single datagram will be sent to the configured broadcast address. Otherwise a separate datagram is sent to each address listed. For unique names, a single datagram is sent to the address listed.

As specified in [RFC1001] section 17.3, if the NBDD cannot distribute a datagram, the end-node has the option of getting the name's owner list from the NetBIOS Datagram Name Server (NBNS) and sending the datagram directly to each of the owners.

<14> Section 3.2.1: There is no enforced limit on the number of entries in the queue.

<15> Section 3.2.1.2: No limit is enforced on the number of entries in the queue.

<16> Section 3.2.4.2: When the queue has no messages, Windows waits for a user-specified timeout interval in milliseconds. If the specified timeout interval is zero, the read returns immediately with a specific failure error code that indicates an empty message queue.

7 Change Tracking

~~No table of This section identifies changes is available. The that were made to this document is either new or has had no changes since its the last release. Changes are classified as Major, Minor, or None.~~

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements.
- A document revision that captures changes to protocol functionality.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **None** means that no new technical changes were introduced. Minor editorial and formatting changes may have been made, but the relevant technical content is identical to the last released version.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com.

Section	Description	Revision class
<u>6 Appendix A: Product Behavior</u>	<u>Added Windows Server to the list of applicable products.</u>	<u>Major</u>

8 Index

A

Abstract data model
 client 13
 server 14
 global 14
 mailslot 14
 overview 14
Applicability 7

C

Capability negotiation 8
Change tracking 24
Client
 abstract data model 13
 higher-layer triggered events - application writes to mailslot 13
 initialization 13
 local events 14
 message processing 14
 other local events 14
 overview 13
 sequencing rules 14
 timer events 14
 timers 13

D

Data model - abstract
 client 13
 server 14
 global 14
 mailslot 14
 overview 14

E

Examples 18

F

Fields - vendor-extensible 8

G

Glossary 6

H

Higher-layer triggered events
 client - application writes to mailslot 13
 server 15
 application
 closes mailslot 16
 creates mailslot 15
 reads from mailslot 15
 overview 15

I

Implementer - security considerations 20

- Index of security parameters 20
- Informative references 7
- Initialization
 - client 13
 - server 14
- Introduction 6

L

- Local events
 - client 14
 - server 17

M

- Mailslot Write Message message 9
- Mailslot_Write_Message packet 9
- Message processing
 - client 14
 - server - mailslot write - receiving 16
- Messages
 - Mailslot Write Message 9
 - overview 9
 - syntax 9
 - transport 9

N

- Normative references 6

O

- Other local events
 - client 14
 - server 17
- Overview (synopsis) 7

P

- Parameters - security index 20
- Preconditions 7
- Prerequisites 7
- Product behavior 21

R

- References 6
 - informative 7
 - normative 6
- Relationship to other protocols 7

S

- Security
 - implementer considerations 20
 - parameter index 20
- Sequencing rules
 - client 14
 - server - mailslot write - receiving 16
- Server
 - abstract data model 14
 - global 14
 - mailslot 14
 - overview 14

- higher-layer triggered events 15
 - application
 - closes mailslot 16
 - creates mailslot 15
 - reads from mailslot 15
 - overview 15
- initialization 14
- local events 17
- message processing - mailslot write - receiving 16
- other local events 17
- sequencing rules - mailslot write - receiving 16
- timer events 17
- timers 14
- Standards assignments 8
- Syntax 9

T

- Timer events
 - client 14
 - server 17
- Timers
 - client 13
 - server 14
- Tracking changes 24
- Transport 9
- Triggered events - higher-layer
 - client - application writes to mailslot 13
 - server 15
 - application
 - closes mailslot 16
 - creates mailslot 15
 - reads from mailslot 15
 - overview 15

V

- Vendor-extensible fields 8
- Versioning 8