

[MS-LWSSP]: Lightweight Web Services Security Profile

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.
- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.
- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft [Open Specification Promise](#) or the [Community Promise](#). If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplg@microsoft.com.
- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit www.microsoft.com/trademarks.
- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, email addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
12/05/2008	0.1	Major	Initial Availability
01/16/2009	0.1.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
02/27/2009	0.1.2	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
04/10/2009	0.1.3	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
05/22/2009	0.1.4	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
07/02/2009	1.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
08/14/2009	2.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
09/25/2009	3.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
11/06/2009	3.0.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
12/18/2009	3.0.2	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
01/29/2010	3.1	Minor	Updated the technical content.
03/12/2010	3.1.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
04/23/2010	3.1.2	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
06/04/2010	4.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
07/16/2010	4.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
08/27/2010	4.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/08/2010	4.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
11/19/2010	4.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
01/07/2011	5.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
02/11/2011	5.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
03/25/2011	5.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
05/06/2011	5.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
06/17/2011	5.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
09/23/2011	5.1	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
12/16/2011	6.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
03/30/2012	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
07/12/2012	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/25/2012	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
01/31/2013	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
08/08/2013	6.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

Contents

1 Introduction	6
1.1 Glossary	6
1.2 References	7
1.2.1 Normative References	7
1.2.2 Informative References	8
1.3 Overview	8
1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols	10
1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions	10
1.6 Applicability Statement	10
1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation	10
1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields	10
1.9 Standards Assignments	11
2 Messages	12
2.1 Transport	12
2.2 Message Syntax	12
2.2.1 Security Element	12
2.2.1.1 SecurityTokenReference Element	13
2.2.1.2 Timestamp Element	13
2.2.1.3 BinarySecurityToken Element	13
2.2.1.3.1 Kerberos BinarySecurityToken Element	13
2.2.1.4 UsernameToken Element	13
2.2.1.5 SecurityContextToken Element	13
2.2.1.6 Assertion Element	14
2.2.1.6.1 SubjectConfirmation Element	14
2.2.1.7 Signature Element	15
2.2.1.7.1 SignedInfo Element	16
2.2.1.7.1.1 Supported Algorithms	16
2.2.1.7.2 KeyInfo Element	17
2.2.2 RST and RSTR Messages	17
2.2.2.1 Binding Extensions	18
2.2.2.1.1 Issuance Binding	18
2.2.2.1.2 Context Establishment Binding	18
2.2.2.1.3 Context Renewal Binding	19
2.2.2.1.4 Context Cancellation Binding	19
3 Protocol Details	20
3.1 Client Details	20
3.1.1 Abstract Data Model	20
3.1.2 Timers	20
3.1.3 Initialization	20
3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events	20
3.1.4.1 Error Handling	20
3.1.5 Processing Events and Sequencing Rules	20
3.1.5.1 RST Message	21
3.1.5.2 RSTR Message	21
3.1.5.2.1 Issuance Binding	21
3.1.5.2.2 Context Establishment Binding	21
3.1.5.2.3 Context Renewal Binding	21
3.1.5.2.4 Context Cancellation Binding	21

3.1.6	Timer Events	21
3.1.7	Other Local Events	21
3.2	Server Details	21
3.2.1	Abstract Data Model	22
3.2.2	Timers	22
3.2.3	Initialization	22
3.2.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events.....	22
3.2.4.1	Error Handling.....	22
3.2.5	Processing Events and Sequencing Rules.....	22
3.2.5.1	RST Message	22
3.2.5.1.1	Issuance Binding.....	22
3.2.5.1.2	Context Establishment Binding.....	23
3.2.5.1.3	Context Renewal Binding.....	23
3.2.5.1.4	Context Cancellation Binding	23
3.2.5.2	RSTR Message.....	23
3.2.6	Timer Events	23
3.2.7	Other Local Events	23
4	Protocol Examples.....	24
4.1	UsernameToken Element in a SOAP Request Message	24
4.2	BinarySecurityToken Element in a SOAP Request Message	24
4.3	SecurityContextToken Element in a SOAP Request Message	25
4.4	Assertion Element in a SOAP Request Message.....	25
4.5	Timestamp Element in a SOAP Response Message	27
4.6	Issuance Binding Request Message	27
4.7	Issuance Binding Response Message	27
4.8	Context Establishment Request Message	29
4.9	Context Establishment Response Message	30
4.10	Context Renewal Request Message.....	30
4.11	Context Renewal Response Message.....	31
4.12	Context Cancellation Request Message	32
4.13	Context Cancellation Response Message	32
5	Security.....	33
5.1	Security Considerations for Implementers.....	33
5.2	Index of Security Parameters	33
6	Appendix A: Product Behavior.....	34
7	Change Tracking.....	36
8	Index	38

1 Introduction

The Lightweight Web Services Security Profile [MS-LWSSP] specifies restrictions on a set of **Web services** specifications and provides clarifications that promote interoperability when building secure Web services. [MS-LWSSP] and the profiled Web services specifications are used by both clients and servers to implement client **authentication**.

Sections 1.8, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative and can contain the terms MAY, SHOULD, MUST, MUST NOT, and SHOULD NOT as defined in RFC 2119. Sections 1.5 and 1.9 are also normative but cannot contain those terms. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are defined in [\[MS-GLOS\]](#):

authentication
Kerberos
key
security context
SOAP
SOAP message
symmetric key
XML

The following terms are specific to this document:

request security token (RST): A message sent to a **security token service** to request a **security token**.

request security token response (RSTR): A response to a request for a **security token**. In many cases this is a direct response from a **security token service** to a requestor after receiving an **RST** message. However, in multi-exchange scenarios, the requestor and **security token service** may exchange multiple **RSTR** messages before the **security token service** issues a final **RSTR** message.

Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML): The set of specifications that describe security assertions encoded in **XML**, profiles for attaching assertions to protocols and frameworks, request/response protocols used to obtain assertions, and the protocol bindings to transfer protocols, such as **SOAP** and HTTP.

security context token (SCT): A wire representation of the abstract **security context** concept described in [\[WSSC\]](#), which allows a **security context** to be named by a URI and used as described in [\[WSS1\]](#).

security token: A **security token** represents a collection (one or more) of claims.

security token service (STS): A **web service** that issues **security tokens** as described in [\[WSTrust\]](#). That is, it makes assertions based on evidence that it trusts to whoever trusts it (or to specific recipients). To communicate trust, a service requires proof, such as a **signature** to prove knowledge of a **security token** or set of **security tokens**. A service itself can generate tokens or it can rely on a separate **STS** to issue a **security token** with its own trust statement. (Note that for some **security token** formats, this can be just a re-issuance or co-**signature**.) This forms the basis of trust brokering.

signature: A value computed with a cryptographic algorithm and bound to data in such a way that intended recipients of the data can use the **signature** to verify that the data has not been altered and/or has originated from the signer of the message, providing message integrity and **authentication**. The **signature** can be computed and verified either with **symmetric key** algorithms, where the same **key** is used for signing and verifying, or with asymmetric **key** algorithms, where different **keys** are used for signing and verifying (a private and public **key** pair are used).

web service: A software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network. A **web service** has an interface described in a machine-processable format (WSDL). Other systems interact with the **web service** in a manner prescribed by its description using **SOAP-messages**, typically conveyed using HTTP with an **XML** serialization in conjunction with other web-related standards.

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#). All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

References to Microsoft Open Specifications documentation do not include a publishing year because links are to the latest version of the documents, which are updated frequently. References to other documents include a publishing year when one is available.

A reference marked "(Archived)" means that the reference document was either retired and is no longer being maintained or was replaced with a new document that provides current implementation details. We archive our documents online [\[Windows Protocol\]](#).

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information. Please check the archive site, <http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/E4BD6494-06AD-4aed-9823-445E921C9624>, as an additional source.

[BSP] McIntosh, M., Gudgin, M., Morrison, K.S., et al., "Basic Security Profile Version 1.0", March 2007, <http://www.ws-i.org/profiles/basicsecurityprofile-1.0.html>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

[SAMLCore] Maler, E., Mishra, P., Philpott, R., et al., "Assertions and Protocol for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V1.1", September 2003, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/3406/oasis-sstc-saml-core-1.1.pdf>

[SAMLToken1.1] Lawrence, K., Kaler, C., Monzillo, R., et al., "Web Services Security: SAML Token Profile 1.1", February 2006, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16768/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SAMLTokenProfile.pdf>

[WSS] OASIS, "Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 (WS-Security 2004)", February 2006, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16790/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf>

[WSS1] Nadalin, A., Kaler, C., Hallam-Baker, P., et al., "Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 (WS-Security 2004)", March 2004, <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf>

[WSSC] OpenNetwork, Layer7, Netegrity, Microsoft, Reactivity, IBM, VeriSign, BEA Systems, Oblix, RSA Security, Ping Identity, Westbridge, Computer Associates, "Web Services Secure Conversation Language (WS-SecureConversation)", February 2005, <http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc>

[WSSC1.3] Lawrence, K., Kaler, C., Nadalin, A., et al., "WS-SecureConversation 1.3", March 2007, <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-secureconversation/200512/ws-secureconversation-1.3-os.html>

[WSSKTP1.1] Lawrence, K., Kaler, C., Nadalin, A., et al., "Web Services Security Kerberos Token Profile 1.1", November 2005, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16788/wss-v1.1-spec-os-KerberosTokenProfile.pdf>

[WSSUTP] OASIS Standard, "Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.0", March 2004, <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0.pdf>

[WSSUTP1.1] OASIS Standard, "Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.1", February 2006, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16782/wss-v1.1-spec-os-UsernameTokenProfile.pdf>

[WSTrust] IBM, Microsoft, Nortel, VeriSign, "WS-Trust V1.0", February 2005, <http://specs.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/WS-Trust.pdf>

[WSTrust1.3] Lawrence, K., Kaler, C., Nadalin, A., et al., "WS-Trust 1.3", March 2007, <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/ws-trust-1.3-os.html>

[XMLDSig/2008] Bartel, M., Boyer, J., Fox, B., LaMacchia, B., and Simon, E., "XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", June 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

[XMLEnc] Imamura, T., Dillaway, B., and Simon, E., "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing", W3C Recommendation, December 2002, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlenc-core/>

1.2.2 Informative References

[MS-GLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "[Windows Protocols Master Glossary](#)".

[SOAP1.1] Box, D., Ehnebuske, D., Kakivaya, G., et al., "Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1", May 2000, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/>

[SOAP1.2-1/2007] Gudgin, M., Hadley, M., Mendelsohn, N., et al., "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework (Second Edition)", W3C Recommendation 27, April 2007, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-soap12-part1-20070427/>

[WS-Addr-Core] World Wide Web Consortium, "Web Services Addressing 1.0 - Core", W3C Recommendation, May 2006, <http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-addr-core/>

[XML] World Wide Web Consortium, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth Edition)", W3C Recommendation, August 2006, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/>

1.3 Overview

The following documents specify a standard set of **SOAP** extensions that provide client/server authentication and content integrity and confidentiality for **SOAP messages** when building secure Web services clients and servers. The Lightweight Web Services Security Profile specifies a profile

for performing lightweight client authentication and **security token** exchange based on the protocols described in these documents:

- Assertions and Protocol for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V1.1 [[SAMLCore](#)]
- Basic Security Profile Version 1.0 [[BSP](#)]
- Web Services Secure Conversation Language (WS-SecureConversation) [[WSSC](#)]
- WS-SecureConversation 1.3 [[WSSC1.3](#)]
- Web Services Security Kerberos Token Profile 1.1 [[WSSKTP1.1](#)]
- Web Services Security: SAML Token Profile 1.1 [[SAMLToken1.1](#)]
- Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 (WS-Security 2004) [[WSS1](#)]
- Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 (WS-Security 2004) [[WSS](#)]
- Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.0 [[WSSUTP](#)]
- Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.1 [[WSSUTP1.1](#)]
- WS-Trust V1.0 [[WSTrust](#)]
- WS-Trust 1.3 [[WSTrust1.3](#)]
- XML-Signature Syntax and Processing (Second Edition) [[XMLDSig/2008](#)]
- XML Encryption Syntax and Processing [[XMLEnc](#)]

Section [2](#) of this document specifies clarifications and restrictions on these specifications to increase interoperability when implementing client authentication and **security context** establishment using username/password, **Kerberos** ticket, and **SAML** token, and acquiring a security token from a **security token service (STS)**.

The protocols used by this specification can be categorized as follows.

[XMLDSig/2008](#) and [XMLEnc](#) specify basic **XML signature** and encryption functionality. These protocols are referred to as XML Extension protocols in the rest of this document.

[WSS1](#), [WSS](#), and [SAMLCore](#) specify the building blocks needed to provide client authentication in SOAP messages. Those building blocks include security tokens, security token references, signatures, and timestamps. These protocols are referred to as Core Security protocols in the rest of this document.

The [BSP](#), [WSSUTP](#), [WSSUTP1.1](#), [WSSKTP1.1](#), and [SAMLToken1.1](#) profiles specify restrictions on and clarifications to [WSS1](#), [WSS](#), and [SAMLCore](#) to promote interoperability among different implementations of those protocols. These protocols are referred to as Security Profiles in the rest of this document.

[WSTrust](#), [WSTrust1.3](#), [WSSC](#), and [WSSC1.3](#) specify additional security elements as well as message exchange patterns used to create and exchange security tokens in SOAP messages. These documents are referred to as Token Exchange protocols in the rest of this document.

The parts of the above documents that specify server authentication, message integrity, and message protection are not specified by this document and are assumed to be provided by underlying transport protocol.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

This specification is a profile of the protocols listed in section 1.3 of this document. In addition, it relies on a number of underlying protocols. The exchanged messages are based on SOAP [SOAP1.1] [SOAP1.2-1/2007] over XML [XML]. It further requires a transport. This document does not specify the transport to use but relies on the transport to provide message integrity and protection since it does not specify support for it itself.




Figure 1: Protocol relationships

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

There are no prerequisites/preconditions beyond those specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols.

1.6 Applicability Statement

The description of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile as presented in this document [MS-LWSSP] is applicable when interoperability with Web services implementations using the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols to provide client authentication is desired. When those same protocols are used to provide server authentication, message integrity or confidentiality features, or if they are not used at all, the information in this document [MS-LWSSP] might not be applicable.

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

There are no versioning or capability negotiations beyond those specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

There are no vendor extensible fields beyond those specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols.

1.9 Standards Assignments

There are no standards assignments beyond those specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols.

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

This specification defines only serialization rules for SOAP extensions specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols. This specification does not define how SOAP messages are transmitted on the network. As such, it does not have a transport.

2.2 Message Syntax

This section specifies restrictions to SOAP extensions specified in the XML Extension, Core Security, Security Profile, and Token Exchange protocols.

This document considers the normative sections in [\[WSS1\]](#), [\[WSS\]](#), [\[BSP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#), [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#), [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#), [\[XMLEnc\]](#), [\[WSTrust\]](#), [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), [\[WSSC1.3\]](#), [\[SAMLCore\]](#), and [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) as non-normative unless they are explicitly specified in this section or a subsection of this section.

This section is split into two subsections. Section [2.2.1](#) of this document specifies restrictions on and clarifications to the <Security> element specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) and [\[WSS\]](#) and its sub-elements, which are specified in [\[WSS1\]](#), [\[WSS\]](#), [\[BSP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#), [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#), [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#), [\[XMLEnc\]](#), [\[SAMLCore\]](#) and [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#). Section [2.2.2](#) of this document specifies restrictions on the **RST** and **RSTR** messages specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#), [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#).

2.2.1 Security Element

The <Security> element is specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 5, [\[WSS\]](#) section 5, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 5. It is a container element for binding a user's credentials (in the form of tokens and signatures) to a SOAP message when adding/verifying client authentication data to a SOAP message.

When used to add authentication data to a SOAP request message, the <Security> element is composed of a combination of child elements from the following list. The <Security> element MUST only contain child elements from the following:

- Zero or one <Timestamp> element as defined in section [2.2.1.2](#) of this document.
- Zero or one <BinarySecurityToken> element as defined in section [2.2.1.3](#) of this document.
- Zero or one <UsernameToken> element as defined in section [2.2.1.4](#) of this document.
- Zero or one <SecurityContextToken> element as defined in section [2.2.1.5](#) of this document.
- Zero or one <Assertion> element as defined in section [2.2.1.6](#) of this document.
- Zero, one, or multiple <Signature> elements as defined in section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document.

If at least one <Signature> element is present in the <Security> element, the <Timestamp> element MUST be present as well. Otherwise, the <Timestamp> element is optional.

When used to add authentication data to a SOAP response message, the <Security> element is composed of a combination of child elements from the following list. The <Security> element MUST only contain child elements from the following:

- Zero or one <Timestamp> element as defined in section [2.2.1.2](#) of this document.

2.2.1.1 SecurityTokenReference Element

The <SecurityTokenReference> element is specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 7.1, [\[WSS\]](#) section 7.1, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 7.1. The <SecurityTokenReference> element MUST contain exactly one of the following elements as a child element:

- A <Reference> element as specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 7.2, [\[WSS\]](#) section 7.2, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 7.2. This document refers to this element as a direct reference.
- A <KeyIdentifier> element as specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 7.3, [\[WSS\]](#) section 7.3, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 7.4. This document refers to this element as a **key** identifier reference.

2.2.1.2 Timestamp Element

The <Timestamp> element is specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 10, [\[WSS\]](#) section 10, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 6.

2.2.1.3 BinarySecurityToken Element

The <BinarySecurityToken> element is specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 6.3, [\[WSS\]](#) section 6.3, and [\[BSP\]](#) section 10. A <BinarySecurityToken> element MUST implement the Kerberos Token that MUST conform to the definition specified in section [2.2.1.3.1](#).

2.2.1.3.1 Kerberos BinarySecurityToken Element

The Kerberos <BinarySecurityToken> element is specified in [\[BSP\]](#) section 14 and [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#) section 3 (excluding subsections 3.5 and 3.6). This document overrides the following specifications:

- [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#) section 3.2 specifies multiple @ValueType attribute values. "[http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-kerberos-token-profile-1.1#GSS_Kerberosv5_AP_REQ](#)" MUST be used.
- If a Kerberos token is referenced as specified in [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#) section 3.3 and [\[BSP\]](#) 14.2, a direct reference conforming to section [2.2.1.1](#) of this document MUST be used.

If a Kerberos token is present in a <Security> element, a <Signature> element conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document MUST be present in the same <Security> element. The <KeyInfo> element of that signature MUST reference the Kerberos token.

2.2.1.4 UsernameToken Element

The <UsernameToken> element is specified in [\[WSS1\]](#) section 6.2, [\[WSS\]](#) section 6.2, [\[BSP\]](#) section 11, [\[WSSUTP\]](#) section 3, and [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#) section 3. This document overrides the following specifications:

- [\[WSSUTP\]](#) section 3.1, [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#) section 3.1: For the Password/@Type attribute, the "#PasswordText" value MUST be used.
- [\[WSSUTP\]](#) section 3.1, [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#) section 3.1: The Nonce and Created child elements MUST NOT be used.

A <UsernameToken> element MUST NOT be referenced by a <SecurityTokenReference> element.

2.2.1.5 SecurityContextToken Element

The <SecurityContextToken> element is specified in [\[WSSC\]](#) section 3 and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 2.

If a **security context token (SCT)** is referenced as specified in [\[WSSC\]](#) section 9 and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 8, a direct reference conforming to section [2.2.1.1](#) of this document MUST be used.

If a security context token is present in a <Security> element, a <Signature> element conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document MUST be present in the same <Security> element. The <KeyInfo> child element of that <Signature> element MUST reference the security context token.

This document overrides the following specification:

- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 8: "If the SCT is referenced from within the <wsse:Security> element or from an RST or RSTR, it is RECOMMENDED that these references be message independent, but these references MAY be message-specific."

When the SCT is referenced from within the <Security> element, the reference MUST be message-specific.

2.2.1.6 Assertion Element

The <Assertion> element is specified in [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 2.3.2. An <Assertion> element defines a SAML token.

[\[SAMLCore\]](#) and [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) specify how to parse and validate <Assertion> elements.

If a SAML token is referenced as specified in [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) sections 3.4 (ignoring subsections) and 3.4.1, a key identifier reference conforming to section [2.2.1.1](#) of this document MUST be used.

If a SAML token is present in a <Security> element, a <Signature> element conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document MUST be present in the same <Security> element. The <KeyInfo> element of that signature MUST reference the SAML token.

This document overrides the following specifications:

- Direct and embedded references as specified in [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) section 3.4 are not used.
- The ValueType "http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.1#SAMLID" and the TokenType "http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.1#SAMLV2.0" specified in [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) section 3.4 MUST NOT be used.
- The NotBefore and NotOnOrAfter attributes as specified in [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 2.3.2.1.1 MAY be omitted.
- The MajorVersion and MinorVersion attributes as specified in [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 2.3.2 MUST be present and MUST both have a value of "1".
- A <Signature> element as specified in [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 5.4 and conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document MUST be present.

A <SubjectConfirmation> element conforming to section [2.2.1.6.1](#) of this document MUST be present.

2.2.1.6.1 SubjectConfirmation Element

The <SubjectConfirmation> element is specified in [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 2.4.2.3 and [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) sections 3.5 (excluding subsections), 3.5.1 (excluding subsections), 3.5.1.1, and 3.5.1.2.

At least one SubjectConfirmation sub-element MUST be present in an <Assertion> element.

A <SubjectConfirmation> element MUST contain exactly one <KeyInfo> element, as specified in [\[XMLEnc\]](#) section 5.4, which corresponds to the key used for the signature specified in section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document corresponding to the SAML token.

The <SecurityTokenReference> child element of the <KeyInfo> element MUST be a key identifier reference with a ValueType attribute value of "http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-soap-message-security-1.1#ThumbprintSHA1". This document overrides the following specifications:

[\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) section 3.5: Only the "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:holder-of-key" subject confirmation method MUST be used.

The "<element name='OAEPPparams' minOccurs='0' type='base64Binary'/>" element specified in [\[XMLEnc\]](#) section 5.4.2 MUST NOT be used.

2.2.1.7 Signature Element

The <Signature> element is specified in [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.1, [\[WSS1\]](#) sections 7.1 and 8 (excluding subsection 8.3), [\[WSS\]](#) sections 7.1 and 8 (excluding subsections 8.3 and 8.5), and [\[BSP\]](#) section 8.

Signatures are tied to security tokens as specified in sections [2.2.1.3.1](#), [2.2.1.5](#), and [2.2.1.6](#) of this document. All references to security tokens MUST be internal as specified in [\[BSP\]](#) section 7.6.

Each <Signature> element MUST contain exactly one of each of the following elements as child elements:

- A <SignedInfo> element that MUST conform to section [2.2.1.7.1](#) of this document.
- A <SignatureValue> element as specified in [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.2.
- A <KeyInfo> element that MUST conform to section [2.2.1.7.2](#) of this document.

This document overrides the following specifications:

- The "<element ref='ds:Object' minOccurs='0' maxOccurs='unbounded'/>" element specified in [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.1 MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSS1\]](#) section 8.2, [\[WSS\]](#) section 8.2: "Producers SHOULD sign all important elements of the message."

The following elements are signed if the <Signature> element is a child element of the <Security> element specified in section [2.2.1](#) of this document:

- The <To> element as specified in [\[WS-Addr-Core\]](#) section 3.2 MUST be present and signed if the signing key is asymmetric. If the signing key is **symmetric**, this element MUST NOT be signed.
- The <Timestamp> element specified in section [2.2.1.2](#) MUST be signed. If a <Signature> element is present, the <Timestamp> element MUST be present as well.

If the <Signature> element is a child element of the <Assertion> element, as specified in section [2.2.1.6](#), then the <Assertion> element MUST be signed.

Other elements MUST NOT be signed.

2.2.1.7.1 SignedInfo Element

The <SignedInfo> element is specified in [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.3. This document overrides the following text:

[\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.3.2: *"element name="HMACOutputLength" minOccurs="0" type="ds:HMACOutputLengthType"/>."*

This element MUST NOT be present as specified in [\[BSP\]](#) section 8.7.2.

2.2.1.7.1.1 Supported Algorithms

This document supports the algorithms specified in [\[BSP\]](#) sections 8.3, 8.4, 8.6, and 8.7. The following passages are overridden:

[\[BSP\]](#) section 8.2.5: *"R3002 Any SIG_REFERENCE to an element that does not have an ID attribute MUST contain a TRANSFORM with an Algorithm attribute value of "http://www.w3.org/2002/06/xmldsig-filter2."*

The ID attribute MUST be present in elements to which there are SIG_REFERENCE elements, and the "http://www.w3.org/2002/06/xmldsig-filter2" algorithm MUST NOT be used.

[\[BSP\]](#) section 8.4.1: *"R5404 Any CANONICALIZATION_METHOD Algorithm attribute MUST have a value of "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" indicating that it uses Exclusive C14N without comments for canonicalization."*

The following values SHOULD be supported:

- <http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#WithComments>

[\[BSP\]](#) section 8.6.1: *"R5420 Any DIGEST_METHOD Algorithm attribute SHOULD have a value of "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"."*

The following values SHOULD be supported:

- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmllenc#sha256>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmllenc#sha384>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmllenc#sha512>

[\[BSP\]](#) section 8.7.1: *"R5421 Any SIGNATURE_METHOD Algorithm attribute SHOULD have a value of "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1" or "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"."*

The following values SHOULD be supported:

- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha256>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha384>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha512>

The following values MAY [<1>](#) be supported:

- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#dsa-sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512>

2.2.1.7.2 KeyInfo Element

The <KeyInfo> element is specified in [\[XMLDSig/2008\]](#) section 4.4 (excluding subsections). A <KeyInfo> element MUST contain exactly one <SecurityTokenReference> element as a child element, as specified in [\[BSP\]](#) section 8.8. The <SecurityTokenReference> element MUST conform to the definition specified in section [2.2.1.1](#).

2.2.2 RST and RSTR Messages

[\[WSTrust\]](#) and [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) specify a framework for requesting and returning security tokens using RST and RSTR messages. RST messages provide the means for requesting a security token from an STS or directly from the server. They have an extensible format that allows the client to specify a range of parameters that the token must satisfy. RSTR messages return the requested token and supporting state. Both messages use the <Security> element specified in section [2.2.1](#) of this document to secure the exchange.

Only single-leg trust exchanges are used. That is, the client requests a token and the server returns it without any intermediate trust message exchanges.

RST message body MUST contain exactly one <RequestSecurityToken> element as specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#) sections 5.1 "Requesting a Security Token" and 5.3 "Binary Secrets", and [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) sections 3.1 and 3.3.

RSTR message body MUST contain exactly one <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element as specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#) sections 5.2 "Returning a Security Token" and 5.3 "Binary Secrets", and [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) sections 3.2 and 3.3.

When using [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#), the <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element MUST be contained in a <RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection> element as specified in [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 4.3. The <RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection> element MUST NOT contain more than one <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element.

This document overrides the following specifications:

- The value of the BinarySecret/@type attribute specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#) section 5.3 MUST be set to one of the following values:
 - <http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Nonce>
 - <http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/SymmetricKey>
- The value of the BinarySecret/@type attribute specified in [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 3.3 MUST be set to one of the following values:
 - <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/Nonce>

- <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/SymmetricKey>
- [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 3.1: "The <wst:RequestSecurityToken> element (RST) is used to request a security token (for any purpose). This element SHOULD be signed by the requestor, using tokens contained/referenced in the request that are relevant to the request."

The <RequestSecurityToken> element MUST NOT be signed.

2.2.2.1 Binding Extensions

The <RequestSecurityToken> and <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> elements form the basis of trust message exchange bindings, which extend these elements for specific usages. The following bindings are supported:

- Issuance Binding
- Context Establishment Binding
- Context Renewal Binding
- Context Cancellation Binding

2.2.2.1.1 Issuance Binding

The issuance binding is specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#) section 6 "Issuance Binding" (excluding subsections 6.2.5, 6.3, and 6.4) and [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 4 (excluding subsections 4.2.1, 4.4.5, 4.4.10, and 4.5).

Support for this binding is specified on the client only. Server-side support for this binding is not included in this profile document.

This document overrides the following specification:

- The action specified in [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 4 MUST be set to one of the following values:
 - <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/RST/Issue>, which is used by the client when sending token requests to the STS.
 - <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/RSTR/Issue>, which is used in the response sent to the client by the STS. It is not produced by the client itself.

2.2.2.1.2 Context Establishment Binding

The context establishment binding is specified in [\[WSSC\]](#) section 4 (excluding subsections 4.3) and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 3 (excluding subsections 3.3 and 3.4). This document overrides the following specifications:

- [\[WSSC\]](#) section 4: The message format specified by "Security context token created by a security token service" MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSSC\]](#) section 4: The message format specified by "Security context token created by one of the communicating parties and propagated with a message" MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSSC\]](#) section 4: "If appropriate, the basic challenge-response definition in [\[WSTrust\]](#) is RECOMMENDED." For more information about the basic challenge-response definition, see [\[WSTrust\]](#).

- Challenge-response MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 3: The message format specified by "Security context token created by a security token service" MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 3: The message format specified by "Security context token created by one of the communicating parties and propagated with a message" MUST NOT be used.
- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 3: "If appropriate, the basic challenge-response definition in [WSTrust] is RECOMMENDED." For more information about the basic challenge-response definition, see [\[WSTrust\]](#).
 - Challenge-response MUST NOT be used.

2.2.2.1.3 Context Renewal Binding

The context renewal binding is specified in [\[WSSC\]](#) section 6 and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 5. This document overrides the following specification:

- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 5: "Proof of possession of the key associated with the security context MUST be proven in order for security context to be renewed. It is RECOMMENDED that this is done by creating the original claims signature over the signature that signs message body and key headers."

Proof of possession MUST be established by including a security context token conforming to section [2.2.1.5](#) of this document and a corresponding signature conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document in the security element conforming to section [2.2.1](#) of this document. The elements that MUST be signed are specified in section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document. Signatures MUST NOT be signed to prove possession.

2.2.2.1.4 Context Cancellation Binding

The context cancellation binding is specified in [\[WSSC\]](#) section 7 and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 6. This document overrides the following specification:

- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 6: "Proof of possession of the key associated with the security context MUST be proven in order for security context to be canceled. It is RECOMMENDED that this is done by creating the original claims signature over the signature that signs message body and key headers."

Proof of possession MUST be established by including a security context token conforming to section [2.2.1.5](#) of this document and a corresponding signature conforming to section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document in the security element conforming to section [2.2.1](#) of this document. The elements that MUST be signed are specified in section [2.2.1.7](#) of this document. Signatures MUST NOT be signed to prove possession.

3 Protocol Details

3.1 Client Details

The client protocol details for the messages defined in section [2.2.1](#) of this document are specified in [\[WSS1\]](#), [\[WSS\]](#), [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#), [\[SAMLCore\]](#), [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#), [\[BSP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#).

The client protocol details for the messages defined in section [2.2.2](#) of this document are specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#), [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#).

Beyond what is specified in the listed specifications, no protocol details are defined. Higher-layer application protocols might [<2>](#) specify additional protocols.

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

None.

3.1.2 Timers

None.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.1.4.1 Error Handling

When a higher-layer application protocol submits a message to be sent, the implementation MAY [<3>](#) check whether the message conforms to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document, and if it does not, return an error and abort further processing. Otherwise, the implementation MUST send the message to the server.

3.1.5 Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

When a message is received, processing is performed as follows.

- The implementation MUST verify that the message conforms to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document.
- If the message does not conform to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document, the following processing steps are performed:
 - The implementation MUST abort further processing.
 - The implementation MAY [<4>](#) return an error to the higher-layer application protocol. Otherwise, it MUST fail silently.

3.1.5.1 RST Message

When an RST message conforming to the syntax specified in section [2.2.2](#) of this document is received, it MUST be rejected.

3.1.5.2 RSTR Message

In addition to the steps specified in section [3.1.5](#) of this document, when an RSTR message conforming to the syntax specified in section [2.2.2](#) of this document is received, processing is performed as described in sections [3.1.5.2.1](#), [3.1.5.2.2](#), [3.1.5.2.3](#), and [3.1.5.2.4](#).

3.1.5.2.1 Issuance Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.1](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the issuance binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.1.5.2.2 Context Establishment Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.2](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context establishment binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.1.5.2.3 Context Renewal Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.3](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context renewal binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.1.5.2.4 Context Cancellation Binding

- The contents of the received message that are specified in section [2.2.2.1.4](#) of this document MAY<5> be ignored by the client. Otherwise, the received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.4](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context cancellation binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

3.2 Server Details

The server protocol details for the messages defined in section [2.2.1](#) of this document are specified in [\[WSS1\]](#), [\[WSS\]](#), [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#), [\[SAMLCore\]](#), [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#), [\[BSP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP\]](#), [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#).

The server protocol details for the messages defined in section [2.2.2](#) of this document are specified in [\[WSTrust\]](#), [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#), [\[WSSC\]](#), and [\[WSSC1.3\]](#).

Beyond what is specified in the listed specifications, no protocol details are defined. Higher-layer application protocols might [<6>](#) specify additional protocols.

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

None.

3.2.2 Timers

None.

3.2.3 Initialization

None.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.2.4.1 Error Handling

When a higher-layer application protocol submits a message to be sent, the implementation MAY [<7>](#) check whether the message conforms to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document, and if it does not, return an error and abort further processing. Otherwise, the implementation MUST send the message to the server.

3.2.5 Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

When a message is received, processing is performed as follows.

- The implementation MUST verify that the message conforms to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document.
- If the message does not conform to the syntax specified in section [2.2](#) of this document, the following processing steps are performed:
 - The implementation MUST abort further processing.
 - The implementation MAY [<8>](#) return an error to the higher-layer application protocol. Otherwise, it MUST fail silently.

3.2.5.1 RST Message

In addition to the steps specified in section [3.2.5](#) of this document, when an RST message conforming to the syntax specified in section [2.2.2](#) of this document is received, processing is performed as described in sections [3.2.5.1.1](#), [3.2.5.1.2](#), [3.2.5.1.3](#), and [3.2.5.1.4](#).

3.2.5.1.1 Issuance Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.1](#) of this document.

- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the issuance binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.2.5.1.2 Context Establishment Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.2](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context establishment binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.2.5.1.3 Context Renewal Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.3](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context renewal binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.2.5.1.4 Context Cancellation Binding

- The received message MUST be processed as specified in section [2.2.2.1.4](#) of this document.
- Exactly one message MUST be received as part of the context cancellation binding. Additional messages MUST be rejected.

3.2.5.2 RSTR Message

When an RSTR message conforming to the syntax specified in section [2.2.2](#) of this document is received, it MUST be rejected.

3.2.6 Timer Events

None.

3.2.7 Other Local Events

None.

4 Protocol Examples

This section includes samples of messages for each supported message type. "..." in the following examples is used to denote arbitrary XML values to improve readability.

4.1 UsernameToken Element in a SOAP Request Message

The following is an example of a <Security> element with a username token and a timestamp.

```
<o:Security s:mustUnderstand="1" xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
  <Timestamp xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
    <Created>2008-08-15T01:33:04.916Z</Created>
    <Expires>2008-08-15T01:38:04.916Z</Expires>
  </Timestamp>
  <o:UsernameToken>
    <o:Username>...</o:Username>
    <o:Password Type="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordText">...</o:Password>
  </o:UsernameToken>
</o:Security>
```

4.2 BinarySecurityToken Element in a SOAP Request Message

The following is an example of a <Security> element with a Kerberos token, its associated signature, and a timestamp.

```
<o:Security s:mustUnderstand="1" xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
  <a:Timestamp a:Id="_0" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
    <a:Created>2008-08-15T01:39:46.121Z</a:Created>
    <a:Expires>2008-08-15T01:44:46.121Z</a:Expires>
  </a:Timestamp>
  <o:BinarySecurityToken a:Id="_kt" EncodingType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0#Base64Binary" Value="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-wss-kerberos-token-profile-1.1#GSS_Kerberosv5_AP_REQ" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">...</o:BinarySecurityToken>
  <Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <SignedInfo>
      <CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
      <SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1" />
      <Reference URI="#_0">
        <Transforms>
          <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
        </Transforms>
        <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
        <DigestValue>...</DigestValue>
      </Reference>
    </SignedInfo>
    <SignatureValue>...</SignatureValue>
    <KeyInfo>
      <o:SecurityTokenReference a:TokenType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-wss-kerberos-token-profile-1.1#GSS_Kerberosv5_AP_REQ" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.1.xsd">
```



```

    <o:Reference URI="#_kt" ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-kerberos-
token-profile-1.1#GSS_Kerberosv5_AP_REQ"/>
  </o:SecurityTokenReference>
</KeyInfo>
</Signature>
</o:Security>

```

4.3 SecurityContextToken Element in a SOAP Request Message

The following is an example of a <Security> element with a security context token, its associated signature, and a timestamp.

```

<o:Security s:mustUnderstand="1" xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-
200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
  <a:Timestamp a:Id="_0" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
    <a:Created>2008-08-15T01:48:08.469Z</a:Created>
    <a:Expires>2008-08-15T01:53:08.469Z</a:Expires>
  </a:Timestamp>
  <SecurityContextToken a:Id="_sct" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-
200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd" xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc">
    <Identifier>urn:uuid:8a63487c-662b-40bf-b2df-f3b536062f5e</Identifier>
  </SecurityContextToken>
  <Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <SignedInfo>
      <CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
      <SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1" />
      <Reference URI="#_0">
        <Transforms>
          <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
        </Transforms>
        <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
        <DigestValue>...</DigestValue>
      </Reference>
    </SignedInfo>
    <SignatureValue>...</SignatureValue>
  </Signature>
  <KeyInfo>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="#_sct" ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct" />
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </KeyInfo>
</Signature>
</o:Security>

```

4.4 Assertion Element in a SOAP Request Message

The following is an example of a <Security> element with a SAML token, its associated signature, and a timestamp.

```

<o:Security s:mustUnderstand="1" xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-
200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
  <a:Timestamp a:Id="_0" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
    <a:Created>2008-08-15T02:12:44.524Z</a:Created>
    <a:Expires>2008-08-15T02:17:44.524Z</a:Expires>
  </a:Timestamp>

```

```

    </a:Timestamp>
    <saml:Assertion MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="1" AssertionID="saml-1" Issuer="urn:test-
sts" IssueInstant="2008-08-15T02:12:44.179Z" xmlns:saml=
"urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:assertion">
    <saml:Conditions NotBefore="2008-01-03T05:00:00.000Z" NotOnOrAfter="2108-12-
01T03:08:59.000Z"/>
    <saml:Advice/>
    <saml:AttributeStatement>
    <saml:Subject>
    <saml:NameIdentifier Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-
format:emailAddress">a@b.com</saml:NameIdentifier>
    <saml:SubjectConfirmation>
    <saml:ConfirmationMethod>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:holder-of-
key</saml:ConfirmationMethod>
    <KeyInfo xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <e:EncryptedKey xmlns:e="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
    <e:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oeap-
mgflp">
    <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
    </e:EncryptionMethod>
    <KeyInfo>
    <o:SecurityTokenReference>
    <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-soap-
message-security-1.1#ThumbprintSHA1">...</o:KeyIdentifier>
    </o:SecurityTokenReference>
    </KeyInfo>
    <e:CipherData>
    <e:CipherValue>...</e:CipherValue>
    </e:CipherData>
    </e:EncryptedKey>
    </KeyInfo>
    </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
    </saml:Subject>
    <saml:Attribute AttributeName="UserName"
AttributeNameNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:WindowsDomainQualifiedName">
    <saml:AttributeValue>Test1</saml:AttributeValue>
    </saml:Attribute>
    <saml:Attribute AttributeName="EmailName"
AttributeNameNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:emailAddress">
    <saml:AttributeValue>a@b.com</saml:AttributeValue>
    </saml:Attribute>
    </saml:AttributeStatement>
    <Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <SignedInfo>
    <CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
    <SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1" />
    <Reference URI="#saml-1">
    <Transforms>
    <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature" />
    <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
    </Transforms>
    <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
    <DigestValue>...</DigestValue>
    </Reference>
    </SignedInfo>
    <SignatureValue>...</SignatureValue>
    <KeyInfo>
    <o:SecurityTokenReference>
    <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-soap-message-
security-1.1#ThumbprintSHA1">...</o:KeyIdentifier>

```

```

        </o:SecurityTokenReference>
    </KeyInfo>
</Signature>
</saml:Assertion>
<Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <SignedInfo>
        <CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
        <SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1" />
        <Reference URI="#_0">
            <Transforms>
                <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
            </Transforms>
            <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
            <DigestValue>...</DigestValue>
        </Reference>
    </SignedInfo>
    <SignatureValue>...</SignatureValue>
    <KeyInfo>
        <o:SecurityTokenReference>
            <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.0#SAMLAssertionID">saml-1</o:KeyIdentifier>
        </o:SecurityTokenReference>
    </KeyInfo>
</Signature>
</o:Security>

```

4.5 Timestamp Element in a SOAP Response Message

The following is an example of a <Security> element with a timestamp.

```

<o:Security s:mustUnderstand="1" xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
    <Timestamp xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
        <Created>2008-08-15T01:48:08.474Z</Created>
        <Expires>2008-08-15T01:53:08.474Z</Expires>
    </Timestamp>
</o:Security>

```

4.6 Issuance Binding Request Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityToken> element used with the issuance binding based on [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) requesting a SAML token.

```

<RequestSecurityToken Context="urn:uuid:5ec07384-0bb0-4d80-a439-517ad3ea4ca2"
xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512">
    <TokenType>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.1#SAMLV1.1</TokenType>
    <RequestType>http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-trust/200512/Issue</RequestType>
</RequestSecurityToken>

```

4.7 Issuance Binding Response Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection> element used with the issuance binding based on [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) returning a SAML token.

```

<wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection xmlns:wst="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-
trust/200512">
  <wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponse Context="urn:uuid:5ec07384-0bb0-4d80-a439-517ad3ea4ca2">
    <wst:TokenType>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.1#SAMLV
1.1</wst:TokenType>
    <wst:RequestedSecurityToken>
      <saml:Assertion MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="1" AssertionID="saml-1"
Issuer="urn:test-sts" IssueInstant="2008-08-15T02:18:57.472Z"
xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:assertion">
        <saml:Conditions NotBefore="2008-01-03T05:00:00.000Z" NotOnOrAfter="2108-12-
01T03:08:59.000Z"/>
        <saml:Advice/>
        <saml:AttributeStatement>
          <saml:Subject>
            <saml:NameIdentifier Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-
format:emailAddress">a@b.com</saml:NameIdentifier>
            <saml:SubjectConfirmation>
              <saml:ConfirmationMethod>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:holder-of-
key</saml:ConfirmationMethod>
              <KeyInfo xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
                <e:EncryptedKey xmlns:e="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
                  <e:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oeap-
mgflp">
                    <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
                  </e:EncryptionMethod>
                  <KeyInfo>
                    <o:SecurityTokenReference xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-
open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
                      <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-
soap-message-security-1.1#ThumbprintSHA1">...</o:KeyIdentifier>
                    </o:SecurityTokenReference>
                  </KeyInfo>
                  <e:CipherData>
                    <e:CipherValue>...</e:CipherValue>
                  </e:CipherData>
                </e:EncryptedKey>
              </KeyInfo>
            </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
          </saml:Subject>
          <saml:Attribute AttributeName="UserName"
AttributeNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:WindowsDomainQualifiedName">
            <saml:AttributeValue>Test1</saml:AttributeValue>
          </saml:Attribute>
          <saml:Attribute AttributeName="EmailName"
AttributeNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:emailAddress">
            <saml:AttributeValue>a@b.com</saml:AttributeValue>
          </saml:Attribute>
        </saml:AttributeStatement>
        <Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
          <SignedInfo>
            <CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
            <SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
            <Reference URI="#saml-1">
              <Transforms>
                <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-
signature"/>
                <Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
              </Transforms>
            <DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
            <DigestValue>...</DigestValue>
          </SignedInfo>
        </Signature>
      </saml:Assertion>
    </wst:RequestedSecurityToken>
  </wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponse>
</wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection>

```

```

        </Reference>
    </SignedInfo>
    <SignatureValue>...</SignatureValue>
    <KeyInfo>
        <o:SecurityTokenReference xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
            <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-soap-message-security-1.1#ThumbprintSHA1">...</o:KeyIdentifier>
            </o:SecurityTokenReference>
        </KeyInfo>
    </Signature>
</saml:Assertion>
</wst:RequestedSecurityToken>
<wst:RequestedAttachedReference>
    <o:SecurityTokenReference xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
        <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.0#SAMLAssertionID">saml-1</o:KeyIdentifier>
        </o:SecurityTokenReference>
    </wst:RequestedAttachedReference>
    <wst:RequestedUnattachedReference>
        <o:SecurityTokenReference xmlns:o="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
            <o:KeyIdentifier ValueType="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-saml-token-profile-1.0#SAMLAssertionID">saml-1</o:KeyIdentifier>
            </o:SecurityTokenReference>
        </wst:RequestedUnattachedReference>
        <wst:RequestedProofToken>
            <wst:BinarySecret>...</wst:BinarySecret>
        </wst:RequestedProofToken>
        <wst:Lifetime>
            <wsu:Created xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">2008-01-03T05:00:00.000Z</wsu:Created>
            <wsu:Expires xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">2108-12-01T03:08:59.000Z</wsu:Expires>
        </wst:Lifetime>
        <wst:KeySize>256</wst:KeySize>
    </wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponse>
</wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponseCollection>

```

4.8 Context Establishment Request Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityToken> element used with the context establishment binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```

<RequestSecurityToken Context="urn:uuid:c416bc08-0664-49f3-850b-7d6cca60a59e"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
    <TokenType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct</TokenType>
    <RequestType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Issue</RequestType>
    <Entropy>
        <BinarySecret Type="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Nonce">...</BinarySecret>
    </Entropy>
    <KeySize>256</KeySize>
</RequestSecurityToken>

```

4.9 Context Establishment Response Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element used with the context establishment binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```
<RequestSecurityTokenResponse Context="urn:uuid:c416bc08-0664-49f3-850b-7d6cca60a59e"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
  <TokenType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct</TokenType>
  <RequestedSecurityToken>
    <SecurityContextToken a:Id="_sct" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-
200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd" xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc">
      <Identifier>urn:uuid:8a63487c-662b-40bf-b2df-f3b536062f5e</Identifier>
    </SecurityContextToken>
  </RequestedSecurityToken>
  <RequestedAttachedReference>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-secect-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="#_sct" ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </RequestedAttachedReference>
  <RequestedUnattachedReference>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-secect-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="urn:uuid:8a63487c-662b-40bf-b2df-f3b536062f5e"
ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </RequestedUnattachedReference>
  <RequestedProofToken>
    <ComputedKey>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/CK/PSHA1</ComputedKey>
  </RequestedProofToken>
  <Entropy>
    <BinarySecret Type="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Nonce">...</BinarySecret>
  </Entropy>
  <Lifetime>
    <Created xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-
utility-1.0.xsd">2008-08-15T01:48:08.3184132Z</Created>
    <Expires xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-
utility-1.0.xsd">2008-08-15T16:48:08.3184132Z</Expires>
  </Lifetime>
  <KeySize>256</KeySize>
</RequestSecurityTokenResponse>
```

4.10 Context Renewal Request Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityToken> element used with the context renewal binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```
<RequestSecurityToken Context="urn:uuid:8c5128dc-2511-4da7-860c-54cce3a7812b"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
  <TokenType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct</TokenType>
  <RequestType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Renew</RequestType>
  <Entropy>
    <BinarySecret Type="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Nonce">...</BinarySecret>
  </Entropy>
  <KeySize>256</KeySize>
  <RenewTarget>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-secect-1.0.xsd">
```

```

    <Reference URI="urn:uuid:97b56502-ecf1-44f9-8172-56159c213039"
a:Instance="urn:uuid:30f06efd-3475-47cc-932b-2f2d81192b0f"
ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"
xmlns:a="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </RenewTarget>
</RequestSecurityToken>

```

4.11 Context Renewal Response Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element used with the context renewal binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```

<RequestSecurityTokenResponse Context="urn:uuid:8c5128dc-2511-4da7-860c-54cce3a7812b"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
  <TokenType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct</TokenType>
  <RequestedSecurityToken>
    <SecurityContextToken a:Id="_sct" xmlns:a="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd" xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc">
      <Identifier>urn:uuid:b0046ac2-c5c1-47d5-98b2-6de700d656be</Identifier>
      <Instance>urn:uuid:ec2de28e-e4b8-4964-9228-8fb0aabbe3dd</Instance>
    </SecurityContextToken>
  </RequestedSecurityToken>
  <RequestedAttachedReference>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-seext-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="#_sct" ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </RequestedAttachedReference>
  <RequestedUnattachedReference>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-seext-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="urn:uuid:b0046ac2-c5c1-47d5-98b2-6de700d656be"
a:Instance="urn:uuid:ec2de28e-e4b8-4964-9228-8fb0aabbe3dd"
ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"
xmlns:a="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </RequestedUnattachedReference>
  <RequestedProofToken>
    <ComputedKey>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/CK/PSHA1</ComputedKey>
  </RequestedProofToken>
  <Entropy>
    <BinarySecret Type="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Nonce">...</BinarySecret>
  </Entropy>
  <Lifetime>
    <Created xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">2008-08-15T02:08:22.9136637Z</Created>
    <Expires xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">2008-08-15T17:08:22.9136637Z</Expires>
  </Lifetime>
  <KeySize>256</KeySize>
</RequestSecurityTokenResponse>

```

4.12 Context Cancellation Request Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityToken> element used with the context cancellation binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```
<RequestSecurityToken Context="urn:uuid:4efa366d-fcb2-43f3-9e55-228ab5a21942"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
  <RequestType>http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust/Cancel</RequestType>
  <CancelTarget>
    <SecurityTokenReference xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">
      <Reference URI="urn:uuid:8a63487c-662b-40bf-b2df-f3b536062f5e"
ValueType="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/sc/sct"/>
    </SecurityTokenReference>
  </CancelTarget>
</RequestSecurityToken>
```

4.13 Context Cancellation Response Message

The following is an example of a <RequestSecurityTokenResponse> element used with the context cancellation binding based on [\[WSSC\]](#).

```
<RequestSecurityTokenResponse Context="urn:uuid:4efa366d-fcb2-43f3-9e55-228ab5a21942"
xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/02/trust">
  <RequestedTokenCancelled/>
</RequestSecurityTokenResponse>
```


5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

The following security consideration specifications apply to this profile document:

- [\[WSS1\]](#) section 13
- [\[WSS\]](#) section 13
- [\[BSP\]](#) section 17
- [\[WSSUTP\]](#) section 4
- [\[WSSUTP1.1\]](#) section 5
- [\[WSSKTP1.1\]](#) section 4
- [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) section 4
- [\[WSTrust\]](#) section 12
- [\[WSTrust1.3\]](#) section 12
- [\[WSSC\]](#) section 11
- [\[WSSC1.3\]](#) section 10

This profile document does not describe how to provide message integrity and message confidentiality services in SOAP messages. Message integrity and confidentiality services are assumed to be provided by the underlying transport protocol, and, as a result, implementers of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile need to implement appropriate message confidentiality measures.

This profile document uses a range of cryptographic algorithms. Some of these algorithms may be considered weak depending on the security threats involved in specific scenarios. This profile document does not classify various cryptographic algorithms or prescribe them per usage scenarios.

This profile document specifies partial validation of SAML claims as specified in section [2.2.1.6](#) of the document. Before accepting a claim, full validation according to [\[SAMLCore\]](#) section 2 and [\[SAMLToken1.1\]](#) section 3 should be performed by higher-layer application protocols.

This profile document does not specify support for signing parts of a SOAP message body. The <To> header is also not signed when security tokens with symmetric keys are used. This lack of correlation can lead to attacks that involve splitting and reuse of parts of a SOAP message.

Security contexts that are established according to section [2.2.2.1.2](#) require the server to allocate state on behalf of the client to cache the established context. If the state is unbound, a malicious client can potentially exhaust server resources.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs:

- Windows XP operating system Service Pack 2 (SP2)
- Windows Server 2003 operating system with Service Pack 1 (SP1)
- Windows Vista operating system
- Windows Server 2008 operating system
- Windows 7 operating system
- Windows Server 2008 R2 operating system
- Windows 8 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 operating system
- Windows 8.1 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 R2 operating system

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

[<1> Section 2.2.1.7.1.1](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile does not support the following values:

- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#dsa-sha1>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512>

[<2> Section 3.1](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) does not specify additional protocols.

[<3> Section 3.1.4.1](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) returns an error code to the application.

[<4> Section 3.1.5](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) returns an error code to the application.

<5> [Section 3.1.5.2.4](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) ignores the contents of the message.

<6> [Section 3.2](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) does not specify additional protocols.

<7> [Section 3.2.4.1](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) returns an error code to the application.

<8> [Section 3.2.5](#): The Windows implementation of the Lightweight Web Services Security Profile (webservices.dll) returns an error code to the application.

7 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to the [MS-LWSSP] protocol document between the January 2013 and August 2013 releases. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.
- An extensive rewrite, addition, or deletion of major portions of content.
- The removal of a document from the documentation set.
- Changes made for template compliance.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the language and formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical or language changes were introduced. The technical content of the document is identical to the last released version, but minor editorial and formatting changes, as well as updates to the header and footer information, and to the revision summary, may have been made.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.
- Content updated.
- Content removed.
- New product behavior note added.
- Product behavior note updated.
- Product behavior note removed.
- New protocol syntax added.
- Protocol syntax updated.
- Protocol syntax removed.
- New content added due to protocol revision.
- Content updated due to protocol revision.
- Content removed due to protocol revision.
- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.

- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.
- New content added for template compliance.
- Content updated for template compliance.
- Content removed for template compliance.
- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type **Editorially updated**.

Some important terms used in the change type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.
- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact protocol@microsoft.com.

Section	Tracking number (if applicable) and description	Major change (Y or N)	Change type
6 Appendix A: Product Behavior	Added Windows 8.1 operating system and Windows Server 2012 R2 operating system to applicability list.	N	Content updated.

8 Index

A

[Applicability](#) 10
Assertion element
 [overview](#) 14
 [SubjectConfirmation element](#) 14
[Assertion element in a SOAP request message example](#) 25

B

BinarySecurityToken element
 [Kerberos BinarySecurityToken element](#) 13
 [overview](#) 13
[BinarySecurityToken element in a SOAP request message example](#) 24
Binding extensions
 [context cancellation binding](#) 19
 [context establishment binding](#) 18
 [context renewal binding](#) 19
 [issuance binding](#) 18
 [overview](#) 18

C

[Capability negotiation](#) 10
[Change tracking](#) 36
[Context cancellation request message example](#) 32
[Context cancellation response message example](#) 32
[Context establishment request message example](#) 29
[Context establishment response message example](#) 30
[Context renewal request message example](#) 30
[Context renewal response message example](#) 31

E

Examples
 [Assertion element in a SOAP request message](#) 25
 [BinarySecurityToken element in a SOAP request message](#) 24
 [context cancellation request message](#) 32
 [context cancellation response message](#) 32
 [context establishment request message](#) 29
 [context establishment response message](#) 30
 [context renewal request message](#) 30
 [context renewal response message](#) 31
 [issuance binding request message](#) 27
 [issuance binding response message](#) 27
 [overview](#) 24
 [SecurityContextToken element in a SOAP request message](#) 25
 [Timestamp element in a SOAP response message](#) 27
 [UsernameToken element in a SOAP request message](#) 24

F

[Fields - vendor-extensible](#) 10

G

[Glossary](#) 6

I

[Implementer - security considerations](#) 33
[Index of security parameters](#) 33
[Informative references](#) 8
[Introduction](#) 6
[Issuance binding request message example](#) 27
[Issuance binding response message example](#) 27

M

Messages
 [syntax](#) 12
 [transport](#) 12

N

[Normative references](#) 7

O

[Overview \(synopsis\)](#) 8

P

[Parameters - security index](#) 33
[Preconditions](#) 10
[Prerequisites](#) 10
[Product behavior](#) 34

R

References
 [informative](#) 8
 [normative](#) 7
[Relationship to other protocols](#) 10
RST Message ([section 3.1.5.1](#) 21, [section 3.2.5.1](#) 22)
 message processing
 [context cancellation binding](#) 23
 [context establishment binding](#) 23
 [context renewal binding](#) 23
 [issuance binding](#) 22
 message processing events and sequencing rules
 [client](#) 21
 [server](#) 22
RSTR Message ([section 3.1.5.2](#) 21, [section 3.2.5.2](#) 23)
 message processing

- [context cancellation binding](#) 21
- [context establishment binding](#) 21
- [context renewal binding](#) 21
- [issuance binding](#) 21
- message processing events and sequencing rules
 - [client](#) 21
 - [server](#) 23

S

Security

- [implementer considerations](#) 33
- [parameter index](#) 33
- [SecurityContextToken element](#) 13
- [SecurityContextToken element in a SOAP request message example](#) 25
- [SecurityTokenReference element](#) 13

Signature element

- [KeyInfo element](#) 17
- [overview](#) 15
- [SignedInfo element](#) 16
- [Standards assignments](#) 11

Syntax

- [overview](#) 12
- RST and RSTR messages
 - [binding extensions](#) 18
 - [overview](#) 17
- Security element
 - [Assertion element](#) 14
 - [BinarySecurityToken element](#) 13
 - [overview](#) 12
 - [SecurityContextToken element](#) 13
 - [SecurityTokenReference element](#) 13
 - [Signature element](#) 15
 - [Timestamp element](#) 13
 - [UsernameToken element](#) 13

T

- [Timestamp element](#) 13
- [Timestamp element in a SOAP response message example](#) 27
- [Tracking changes](#) 36
- [Transport](#) 12

U

- [UsernameToken element](#) 13
- [UsernameToken element in a SOAP request message example](#) 24

V

- [Vendor-extensible fields](#) 10
- [Versioning](#) 10