[MS-LLMNRP]:

Link Local Multicast Name Resolution (LLMNR) Profile

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- Technical Documentation. Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.
- Copyrights. This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the Open Specifications.
- **No Trade Secrets**. Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- Patents. Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft <u>Open Specification Promise</u> or the <u>Community Promise</u>. If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting <u>iplg@microsoft.com</u>.
- Trademarks. The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit www.microsoft.com/trademarks.
- Fictitious Names. The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

| Date | Revision History | Revision Class | Comments | |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/24/2008 | 0.2 | | Version 0.2 release | |
| 12/5/2008 | 1.0 | Major | Updated and revised the technical content. | |
| 1/16/2009 | 1.1 | Minor | Clarified the meaning of the technical content. | |
| 2/27/2009 | 1.1.1 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 4/10/2009 | 2.0 | Major | Updated and revised the technical content. | |
| 5/22/2009 | 2.0.1 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 7/2/2009 | 2.0.2 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 8/14/2009 | 2.0.3 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 9/25/2009 | 2.1 | Minor | Clarified the meaning of the technical content. | |
| 11/6/2009 | 2.1.1 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 12/18/2009 | 2.1.2 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 1/29/2010 | 2.2 | Minor | Clarified the meaning of the technical content. | |
| 3/12/2010 | 2.2.1 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 4/23/2010 | 2.2.2 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 6/4/2010 | 2.2.3 | Editorial | Changed language and formatting in the technical content. | |
| 7/16/2010 | 2.2.3 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 8/27/2010 | 2.2.3 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 10/8/2010 | 2.2.3 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 11/19/2010 | 2.2.3 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 1/7/2011 | 3.0 | Major | Updated and revised the technical content. | |
| 2/11/2011 | 3.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 3/25/2011 | 3.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 5/6/2011 | 3.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 6/17/2011 | 3.1 | Minor | Clarified the meaning of the technical content. | |
| 9/23/2011 | 3.1 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 12/16/2011 | 4.0 | Major | Updated and revised the technical content. | |

| Date | Revision History | Revision Class | Comments | |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 3/30/2012 | 4.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 7/12/2012 | 5.0 | Major | Updated and revised the technical content. | |
| 10/25/2012 | 5.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 1/31/2013 | 5.1 | Minor | Clarified the meaning of the technical content. Updated and revised the technical content. | |
| 8/8/2013 | 6.0 | Major | | |
| 11/14/2013 | 6.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content. | |
| 2/13/2014 | 6.0 | None | No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.Significantly changed the technical content. | |
| 5/15/2014 | 6.0 | None | | |
| 6/30/2015 | 7.0 | Major | | |

Table of Contents

| 1 | Intro | oduction5 | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | L.1 | Glossary | | | | |
| 1 | L.2 | References | | | | |
| | 1.2.1 | | | | | |
| | 1.2.2 | | | | | |
| - | L.3 | Overview6 | | | | |
| | L.4 | Relationship to Other Protocols | | | | |
| - | L.5 | Prerequisites/Preconditions | | | | |
| - | 1.6 | Applicability Statement | | | | |
| - | 1.7 | Versioning and Capability Negotiation | | | | |
| - | L.8 | Vendor-Extensible Fields | | | | |
|] | L.9 | Standards Assignments | | | | |
| 2 | Mess | sages8 | | | | |
| 2 | 2.1 | Transport | | | | |
| 2 | 2.2 | Message Syntax | | | | |
| 2 | Duct | ocol Details9 | | | | |
| | Prot o 3.1 | LLMNR Sender Details | | | | |
| - | 3.1.1 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.2 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.2 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.3 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.5 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.6 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.7 | | | | | |
| - | 3.2 | LLMNR Responder Details | | | | |
| - | 3.2.1 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.2 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.3 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.4 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.5 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.6 | | | | | |
| | 3.2.7 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4 | Prote | ocol Examples12 | | | | |
| 5 | Secu | ırity16 | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Security Considerations for Implementers 16 | | | | |
| Ę | 5.2 | Index of Security Parameters 16 | | | | |
| 6 | Арре | endix A: Product Behavior17 | | | | |
| 7 | 7 Change Tracking | | | | | |
| 8 | | 20 20 | | | | |

1 Introduction

The Link Local Multicast Name Resolution (LLMNR) protocol, specified in [RFC4795], enables name resolution on the link local scenarios in which conventional DNS, as specified in [RFC1035], name resolution is not possible on the local link.

This document specifies a profile of LLMNR.

Sections 1.8, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative and can contain the terms MAY, SHOULD, MUST, MUST NOT, and SHOULD NOT as defined in [RFC2119]. Sections 1.5 and 1.9 are also normative but do not contain those terms. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are specific to this document:

- **UTF-8**: A byte-oriented standard for encoding Unicode characters, defined in the Unicode standard. Unless specified otherwise, this term refers to the UTF-8 encoding form specified in <u>[UNICODE5.0.0/2007]</u> section 3.9.
- **MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT:** These terms (in all caps) are used as defined in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

Links to a document in the Microsoft Open Specifications library point to the correct section in the most recently published version of the referenced document. However, because individual documents in the library are not updated at the same time, the section numbers in the documents may not match. You can confirm the correct section numbering by checking the <u>Errata</u>.

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact <u>dochelp@microsoft.com</u>. We will assist you in finding the relevant information.

[RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain Names - Implementation and Specification", STD 13, RFC 1035, November 1987, <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1035.txt</u>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt</u>

[RFC2671] Vixie, P., "Extension mechanism for DNS", RFC 2671, August 1999, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2671.txt

[RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, A Transformation Format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003, <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3629.txt</u>

[RFC4795] Aboba, B., Thaler, D., and Esibov, L., "Link-Local Multicast Name Resolution (LLMNR)", RFC 4795, January 2007, <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4795.txt</u>

[RFC768] Postel, J., "User Datagram Protocol", STD 6, RFC 768, August 1980, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc768.txt

[RFC793] Postel, J., Ed., "Transmission Control Protocol: DARPA Internet Program Protocol Specification", RFC 793, September 1981, <u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc793.txt</u>

1.2.2 Informative References

[RFC2308] Andrews, M., "Negative Caching of DNS Queries (DNS NCACHE)", RFC 2308, March 1998, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2308.txt

[RFC2937] Smit, C., "The Name Service Search Option for DHCP", RFC 2937, September 2000, http://ietfreport.isoc.org/rfc/rfc2937.txt

[RFC3492] Costello, A., "Punycode: A Bootstring encoding of Unicode for Internationalized Domain Names in Applications", RFC 3492, March 2003, <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3492.txt</u>

1.3 Overview

Link Local Multicast Name Resolution queries are sent to and received on port 5355, as specified in [RFC4795]. This profile of LLMNR differs from LLMNR defined in [RFC4795], principally in the area of transport. Specifically:

- [RFC4795] requires TCP, as specified in [RFC793], support, but TCP support is optional in this profile.
- [RFC4795] requires EDNS0 [RFC2671] support, but EDNS0 support is optional in this profile.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

Relationship to other protocols is unchanged from [RFC4795].

Implementations of this LLMNRP profile without TCP do not preclude or prohibit [RFC4795] implementations with TCP from operating on the same network; however, senders and responders using this LLMNR profile cannot participate in TCP transactions.

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

Prerequisites and preconditions for this profile are unchanged from [RFC4795].

1.6 Applicability Statement

The applicability of this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795] except for the following:

- This LLMNR profile is applicable only to resolving single-label names.
- This LLMNR profile is not applicable to resolving all DNS record types. Specifically only A, AAAA, and PTR record types are required by this profile. Support for other record types is optional.

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

This profile introduces no new versioning or capability negotiation mechanisms beyond those described in [RFC4795]. An implementation of this LLMNR profile can interoperate with an implementation of LLMNR based on [RFC4795] but issues may arise in the following general areas that are covered in detail in section $\underline{3}$ of this document:

- Sending and receiving large responses that exceed the link MTU or 512 octets.
- Situations where TCP should be used.
- Querying resource records other than A, AAAA, and PTR.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

This profile does not support any vendor-extensible fields.

1.9 Standards Assignments

This profile includes no standards assignments beyond those specified in [RFC4795].

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

[RFC4795] requires support for both the User Datagram Protocol (UDP) [RFC768] and the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) as transports for LLMNR messages.

An implementation of this profile MUST support UDP as a transport and MAY support TCP as a transport.

2.2 Message Syntax

The message syntax remains unchanged from the protocol specified in [RFC4795] section 2.

3 Protocol Details

3.1 LLMNR Sender Details

LLMNR sender details are specified in [RFC4795] sections 1, 2, and 3, with differences specified as follows.

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

The state that needs to be maintained by a sender in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795]. [RFC4795] states in section 5.4 that LLMNR implementations MUST use a distinct, isolated cache for LLMNR on each interface. This statement is vague in terms of whether it means LLMNR implementations MUST support caching or it means LLMNR implementations MUST keep the LLMNR cache, if one exists, distinct from the DNS cache and isolated on a per-interface basis. Implementations of this LLMNR profile MAY support caching. If an implementation of this LLMNR query, then it MUST do so only if there's already a cached DNS name error entry in the DNS cache for the name being queried. Implementations of this LLMNR profile can determine whether a negative DNS cache entry exists, by issuing a DNS query. A response of NXDOMAIN indicates that the DNS name does not exist, and will thus result in a negative DNS cache entry. Any other response indicates that a negative DNS cache entry does not exist.[RFC2308]

3.1.2 Timers

The timers required by a sender in this LLMNR profile are unchanged from [RFC4795] except for the following. <1>

[RFC4795] specifies in section 2.7 that in order to avoid synchronization, the transmission of each LLMNR query SHOULD be delayed by a time randomly selected from the interval 0 to JITTER_INTERVAL. Implementing this behavior requires a timer. In this profile, the sender SHOULD send queries immediately without a random delay thereby avoiding the need for such a timer.

3.1.3 Initialization

The initialization required by this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

[RFC4795] section 3.1 is ambiguous as to whether support for the Name Service Search Option (NSSO) [RFC2937] and the LLMNR Enable Option are mandatory. However, [RFC4795] includes them only as informative references, indicating that they need not be read or understood to implement LLMNR. As such, this profile clarifies that there are no conformance requirements with respect to those references.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

Processing of higher-layer triggered events is unchanged from [RFC4795].

3.1.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

Except as specified in this section, the message processing and sequencing rules for an LLMNR profile sender are unchanged from [RFC4795].

[RFC4795] section 2.1 requires that an LLMNR sender accept responses as large as the smaller of the link MTU or 9194 octets. In this profile, a sender MUST accept responses as large as the maximum UDP payload that can be carried over IPv4 or IPv6. The sender MAY use the EDNS0 [RFC2671] OPT record to indicate the maximum UDP payload size it can accept.

When a response is received with the TC bit set, [RFC4795] section 2.1.1 recommends (but does not require) that the LLMNR sender discard the response and resend the query over TCP. In this profile, the sender MAY do so, but instead SHOULD simply ignore the TC bit and process the response as if there is no truncation.

[RFC4795] specifies in section 2.7 that since it is possible for a response with the "C" bit clear to be followed by a response with the "C" bit set, an LLMNR sender SHOULD be prepared to process additional responses for the purposes of conflict detection, even after it has considered a query answered. In this profile, the sender MAY process the additional responses once it considers a query answered.

[RFC4795] section 2.9 recommends (but does not require) that the LLMNR sender include conflicting RRs in the additional section of queries with the "C" bit set. In this profile, conflicting RRs MAY be included in the additional section.

[RFC4795] specifies in section 2.7 that in order to avoid synchronization, the transmission of each LLMNR query SHOULD be delayed by a time randomly selected from the interval 0 to JITTER_INTERVAL. In this profile, the sender SHOULD send queries immediately without a random delay.

[RFC4795] section 2.4 recommends (but does not require) that an LLMNR sender send PTR queries using TCP unicast as opposed to UDP multicast. In this profile, the LLMNR sender MAY use unicast TCP for PTR queries, but instead SHOULD use UDP multicast.

[RFC4795] does not specify whether names in queries are to be sent in **UTF-8** [RFC3629] or Punycode [RFC3492]. In this LLMNR profile, a sender MUST send queries in UTF-8, not Punycode.

3.1.6 Timer Events

Handling of timer events by a sender in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

3.1.7 Other Local Events

Handling of other local events by a sender in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

3.2 LLMNR Responder Details

LLMNR responder details are specified in [RFC4795] sections 2 and 4, with differences as specified below.

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

The state that needs to be maintained by a responder in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

Implementations of this LLMNR profile need not have a configurable or extendable data store containing the names to which the responder will respond. $\leq 2 \geq$

3.2.2 Timers

The timers required by a responder in this LLMNR profile are unchanged from [RFC4795] except for the following. <3>

[RFC4795] specifies in section 2.7 that in order to avoid synchronization, the transmission of each LLMNR response SHOULD be delayed by a time randomly selected from the interval 0 to JITTER_INTERVAL. Implementing this behavior requires a timer. In this profile, the responder SHOULD send responses immediately without a random delay thereby avoiding the need for such a timer.

3.2.3 Initialization

The initialization required by this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795] except for the following.

In [RFC4795], listening on TCP port 5355 is required. In this LLMNR profile, the responder MAY listen on TCP port 5355 and MAY respond to TCP queries as specified in [RFC4795] sections 2.3 and $2.4.\underline{<4>}$

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

Processing of higher-layer triggered events is unchanged from [RFC4795].

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

Except as specified in this section, the message processing and sequencing rules are unchanged from [RFC4795].

[RFC4795] section 2.1 recommends (but does not require) that the responder only send UDP responses as large as is permissible without causing fragmentation. In this profile, a responder MUST send UDP responses with size up to the maximum UDP payload that can be carried over IPv4 or IPv6. The LLMNR profile responder MAY honor the maximum acceptable UDP payload size indicated by an ENDS0 OPT record in a query. If the resource records that need to be sent in the response do not all fit in the UDP packet, then the LLMNR profile responder MUST put as many resource records as can fit in the UDP packet and send the response without setting the TC bit.

The LLMNR profile responder MUST respond to queries for resource record types of A, AAAA, PTR, and ANY. The LLMNR profile responder MAY respond to queries for other resource record types, but instead SHOULD silently discard queries for other resource record types. In response to a query with resource record type of ANY, the LLMNR profile responder MUST return any eligible A and AAAA resource records per [RFC4795] section 2.6 and MAY return other types of resource records.

The LLMNR profile responder MUST respond to queries for names encoded in UTF-8 format [RFC3629] and MAY respond to queries for internationalized names converted to Punycode [RFC3492].

[RFC4795] section 4.2 specifies that an LLMNR responder SHOULD log name conflicts detected as a result of uniqueness verification. A responder in this LLMNR profile MAY log name conflicts.

3.2.6 Timer Events

Handling of timer events by a responder in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

3.2.7 Other Local Events

Handling of other local events by a responder in this LLMNR profile is unchanged from [RFC4795].

4 Protocol Examples

The following example illustrates an LLMNR query for AAAA resource records for a host name that starts with a non-ASCII character (represented in UTF-8 encoding) and the corresponding response, which contains multiple AAAA resource records that make the response larger than the 512-octet UDP payload limit observed by DNS:

UDP/IPv6 packet containing the AAAA LLMNR query for host name "cest":

```
- Ipv6:
   Versions: IPv6, Internet Protocol, DSCP 0
   PayloadLength: 31 (0x1F)
   NextProtocol: 17(0x11)
   HopLimit: 1 (0x1)
   SourceAddress: FE80:0:0:0:D9F6:CE2E:4875:AB03
   DestinationAddress: FF02:0:0:0:0:0:1:3
- Udp:
   SourcePort: 62925(0xf5cd)
   DestinationPort: 5355(0x14eb)
   TotalLength: 31 (0x1F)
   Checksum: 37373 (0x91FD)
- Llmnr:
   QueryIdentifier: 35893 (0x8C35)
 - Flags:
    QR:
             (0.....) Query
             (.0000.....) Standard
    OPCode:
    С:
             (....)
    TC:
             (.....)
    т:
             (....)
    RCode: (.....0000) Success
   QuestionCount: 1 (0x1)
   AnswerCount: 0 (0x0)
   NameServerCount: 0 (0x0)
   AdditionalCount: 0 (0x0)
 - ORecord:
    QuestionName: cest (0x05 0xC3 0xA7 0x65 0x73 0x74 0x00)
    QuestionType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
    QuestionClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
```

UDP/IPv6 packet containing the LLMNR response, which includes 25 AAAA resource records. In the following example, all 25 IP addresses belong to interfaces on the same host and are thus not in conflict.

```
- Ipv6:
  - Versions: IPv6, Internet Protocol, DSCP 0
   PayloadLength: 736 (0x2E0)
   NextProtocol: 17(0x11)
   HopLimit: 64 (0x40)
   SourceAddress: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:100
    DestinationAddress: FE80:0:0:0:D9F6:CE2E:4875:AB03
- Udp:
    SourcePort: 5355(0x14eb)
    DestinationPort: 62925(0xf5cd)
   TotalLength: 736 (0x2E0)
   Checksum: 9332 (0x2474)
- Llmnr:
   QueryIdentifier: 35893 (0x8C35)
  - Flags:
    QR:
               (1.....) Response
```

[MS-LLMNRP] - v20150630 Link Local Multicast Name Resolution (LLMNR) Profile Copyright © 2015 Microsoft Corporation Release: June 30, 2015

```
OPCode:
            (.0000.....) Standard
  C:
            (....)
  TC:
            (....)
            (....)
  т:
  Reserved: (.....)
            (..... Success
  RCode:
 QuestionCount: 1 (0x1)
 AnswerCount: 25 (0x19)
 NameServerCount: 0 (0x0)
AdditionalCount: 0 (0x0)
- ORecord:
  QuestionName: cest (0x05 0xC3 0xA7 0x65 0x73 0x74 0x00)
  QuestionType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  QuestionClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0x05 0xC3 0xA7 0x65 0x73 0x74 0x00)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: 2001:4898:1B:5:709F:3CF3:698E:AB15
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: çest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: 2002:9D3B:1DF3:8:709F:3CF3:698E:AB15
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FEC0:0:0:8:709F:3CF3:698E:AB15
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:100
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:101
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:102
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:103
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
```

```
IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:104
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:105
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:106
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:107
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:108
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:109
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:110
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: çest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:111
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: çest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:112
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:113
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: çest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
```

```
ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:114
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:115
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:116
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:117
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:118
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:119
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:0:0:0:120
- ARecord:
  ResourceName: cest (0xC0 0x17)
  ResourceType: AAAA, 28(0x1c)
  ResourceClass: Internet, 1(0x1)
  TimeToLive: 30 (0x1E)
  ResourceDataLength: 16 (0x10)
  IPv6Address: FE80:0:0:0:709F:3CF3:698E:AB15
```

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

Security considerations for this profile of LLMNR are unchanged from [RFC4795].

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs.

Note: Some of the information in this section is subject to change because it applies to an unreleased, preliminary version of the Windows Server operating system, and thus may differ from the final version of the server software when released. All behavior notes that pertain to the unreleased, preliminary version of the Windows Server operating system contain specific references to Windows Server 2016 Technical Preview as an aid to the reader.

- Windows Vista operating system
- Windows Server 2008 operating system
- Windows 7 operating system
- Windows Server 2008 R2 operating system
- Windows 8 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 operating system
- Windows 8.1 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 R2 operating system
- Windows 10 operating system
- Windows Server 2016 Technical Preview operating system

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

<1> Section 3.1.2: Windows Vista, Windows Server 2008, Windows 7, and Windows Server 2008 R2 operating system use a retry time of 100 ms and a wait time of 200 ms. Windows 8, Windows Server 2012, Windows 8.1, Windows 10, Windows Server 2012 R2, and Windows Server 2016 Technical Preview use a retry time of 410 ms and a wait time of 410 ms.

<2> Section 3.2.1: Windows implementations of this LLMNR profile (in all versions of Windows listed in the supported products list in Appendix A: Product Behavior) do not have an extendable or configurable data store. The LLMNR responder will respond only to the computer's host name. Therefore, the Windows implementations of this LLMNR profile cannot be configured to respond to arbitrary names.

<3> Section 3.2.2: Windows Vista, Windows Server 2008, Windows 7, and Windows Server 2008 R2 use a retry time of 100 ms and a wait time of 200 ms. Windows 8, Windows Server 2012, Windows 8.1, Windows Server 2012 R2, Windows 10, and Windows Server 2016 Technical Preview use a retry time of 410 ms and a wait time of 410 ms.

<4> Section 3.2.3: Windows implements this LLMNR profile only in all versions of Windows listed in the supported products list in Appendix A: Product Behavior. Windows implementations of this profile do not listen to LLMNR queries on any TCP port, including 5355.

7 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to this document since the last release. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.
- The removal of a document from the documentation set.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical changes were introduced. Minor editorial and formatting changes may have been made, but the technical content of the document is identical to the last released version.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.
- Content updated.
- Content removed.
- New product behavior note added.
- Product behavior note updated.
- Product behavior note removed.
- New protocol syntax added.
- Protocol syntax updated.
- Protocol syntax removed.
- New content added due to protocol revision.
- Content updated due to protocol revision.
- Content removed due to protocol revision.
- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.
- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type **Editorially updated**.

Some important terms used in the change type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.
- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact <u>dochelp@microsoft.com</u>.

| Section | Tracking number (if applicable) and description | Major change (Y or N) | Change type |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <u>6</u> Appendix A: Product Behavior | Added Windows 10 to applicability list. | Y | Content update. |
| 6 Appendix A: Product Behavior | Updated the product behavior notes to include the Windows Server 2016 Technical Preview operating system. | Y | Product behavior note updated. |

8 Index

A

Abstract data model responder 10 sender 9 Applicability 6

С

Capability negotiation 6 Change tracking 18

D

Data model - abstract <u>responder</u> 10 <u>sender</u> 9

Е

Examples - overview 12

F

Fields - vendor-extensible 7

G

Glossary 5

Н

Higher-layer triggered events responder 11 sender 9

I

Implementer - security considerations 16 Index of security parameters 16 Informative references 6 Initialization responder 11 sender 9 Introduction 5

L

Local events responder 11 sender 10

Μ

Message processing responder 11 sender 9 Messages syntax 8 transport 8

Ν

Normative references 5

0

Overview (synopsis) 6

Ρ

Parameters - security index 16 Preconditions 6 Prerequisites 6 Product behavior 17

R

References 5 informative 6 normative 5 Relationship to other protocols 6 Responder abstract data model 10 higher-layer triggered events 11 initialization 11 local events 11 message processing 11 overview 10 sequencing rules 11 timer events 11 timers 10

S

[MS-LLMNRP] - v20150630 Link Local Multicast Name Resolution (LLMNR) Profile Copyright © 2015 Microsoft Corporation Release: June 30, 2015 Security implementer considerations 16 parameter index 16 Sender abstract data model 9 higher-layer triggered events 9 initialization 9 local events 10 message processing 9 overview 9 sequencing rules 9 timer events 10 timers 9 Sequencing rules responder 11 sender 9 Standards assignments 7 Syntax 8

т

Timer events <u>responder</u> 11 <u>sender</u> 10 Timers <u>responder</u> 10 <u>sender</u> 9 <u>Tracking changes</u> 18 <u>Transport</u> 8 Triggered events - higher-layer <u>responder</u> 11 <u>sender</u> 9

V

<u>Vendor-extensible fields</u> 7 <u>Versioning</u> 6