

# [MS-CTA-Diff]:

## Claims Transformation Algorithm

---

### Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation (“this documentation”) for protocols, file formats, data portability, computer languages, and standards support. Additionally, overview documents cover inter-protocol relationships and interactions.
- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you can make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies that are described in this documentation and can distribute portions of it in your implementations that use these technologies or in your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You can also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schemas, IDLs, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications documentation.
- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that might cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications documentation. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of this documentation grants any licenses under those patents or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specifications document might be covered by the Microsoft Open Specifications Promise or the Microsoft Community Promise. If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in this documentation are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting [iplg@microsoft.com](mailto:iplg@microsoft.com).
- **License Programs.** To see all of the protocols in scope under a specific license program and the associated patents, visit the Patent Map.
- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation might be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit [www.microsoft.com/trademarks](http://www.microsoft.com/trademarks).
- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, email addresses, logos, people, places, and events that are depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

**Reservation of Rights.** All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than as specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

**Tools.** The Open Specifications documentation does not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments, you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications documents are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standards specifications and network programming art and, as such, assume that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

**Support.** For questions and support, please contact [dochelp@microsoft.com](mailto:dochelp@microsoft.com).

## Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
12/16/2011	1.0	New	Released new document.
3/30/2012	1.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/12/2012	1.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/25/2012	1.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
1/31/2013	2.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
8/8/2013	3.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
11/14/2013	3.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
2/13/2014	3.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
5/15/2014	3.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
6/30/2015	4.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
7/14/2016	4.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
6/1/2017	4.0	None	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
9/15/2017	5.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
9/12/2018	6.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
3/15/2019	6.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Glossary	4
1.2	References	5
1.2.1	Normative References	5
1.2.2	Informative References	5
1.3	Overview	5
1.4	Relationship to Protocols and Other Algorithms	5
1.5	Applicability Statement	5
1.6	Standards Assignments	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Algorithm Details</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Claims Transformation Algorithm Details	6
2.1.1	Abstract Data Model	6
2.1.2	Data Structures	7
2.1.3	Initialization	7
2.1.4	Processing Rules	8
2.1.4.1	Claims Transformation Rules Language Syntax	8
2.1.4.1.1	(Updated Section) Language Terminals	8
2.1.4.1.2	(Updated Section) Language Syntax	9
2.1.4.2	(Updated Section) Claims Transformation Rules Syntax Evaluation	10
2.1.4.3	(Updated Section) Claims Transformation Rules Processing	11
2.1.4.3.1	(Updated Section) Rule-set	12
2.1.4.3.2	(Updated Section) Rule	13
2.1.4.3.3	(Updated Section) Conditions	13
2.1.4.3.4	(Updated Section) Sel-condition	13
2.1.4.3.5	(Updated Section) Opt-cond-list	13
2.1.4.3.6	(Updated Section) Cond	14
2.1.4.3.7	(Updated Section) Rule-action	15
2.1.4.3.8	(Updated Section) Claim-copy	15
2.1.4.3.9	(Updated Section) Claim-new	15
2.1.4.3.10	Processing End	15
<b>3</b>	<b>Algorithm Examples</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	(Updated Section) Processing "Allow All Claims" Rule	16
3.2	(Updated Section) Processing "Deny Some Claims" Rule	16
3.3	(Updated Section) Processing "Issue always" Rule	16
3.4	(Updated Section) Processing an Invalid Rule	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Security</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Security Considerations for Implementers	17
4.2	Index of Security Parameters	17
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix A: Product Behavior</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Change Tracking</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Index</b>	<b>21</b>

# 1 Introduction

This document specifies the Claims Transformation Algorithm, which is an algorithm to transform claims based on rules written in the claims transformation rules language, which is defined in this document as well.

Sections 1.6 and 2 of this specification are normative. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

## 1.1 Glossary

This document uses the following terms:

**Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF):** A modified version of Backus-Naur Form (BNF), commonly used by Internet specifications. ABNF notation balances compactness and simplicity with reasonable representational power. ABNF differs from standard BNF in its definitions and uses of naming rules, repetition, alternatives, order-independence, and value ranges. For more information, see [RFC5234].

**claim:** An assertion about a security principal expressed as the n-tuple {Identifier, ValueType, m Value(s) of type ValueType} where m is greater than or equal to 1. A claim with only one Value in the n-tuple is called a single-valued claim; a claim with more than one Value is called a multi-valued claim.

**claims transformation:** The process of converting one set of claims by analyzing and filtering the claims and by adding new claims in order to generate a new set of claims.

**claims transformation rules language syntax:** The context-free grammar expressed in ABNF that specifies the language used to describe the rules used in the Claims Transformation Algorithm.

**input claims:** The set of claims provided as input to the Claims Transformation Algorithm.

**production:** An individual ABNF rule in the claims transformation rules language.

**production name:** The name on the left side of the production.

**single-valued claim:** A claim with only one Value in the n-tuple {Identifier, ValueType, m Value(s) of type ValueType}.

**tag:** A production name or a terminal from the claims transformation rules language syntax that is used to identify a portion of the given transformation rules.

**terminal:** A basic element of the claims transformation rules language syntax.

**transformation rules:** A set of rules defined according to the claims transformation rules language syntax that specifies how claims are transformed when the Claims Transformation Algorithm is invoked.

**UTF-16:** A standard for encoding Unicode characters, defined in the Unicode standard, in which the most commonly used characters are defined as double-byte characters. Unless specified otherwise, this term refers to the UTF-16 encoding form specified in [UNICODE5.0.0/2007] section 3.9.

**MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT:** These terms (in all caps) are used as defined in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

## 1.2 References

Links to a document in the Microsoft Open Specifications library point to the correct section in the most recently published version of the referenced document. However, because individual documents in the library are not updated at the same time, the section numbers in the documents may not match. You can confirm the correct section numbering by checking the Errata.

### 1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact [dochelp@microsoft.com](mailto:dochelp@microsoft.com). We will assist you in finding the relevant information.

[ISO/IEC-9899] International Organization for Standardization, "Programming Languages - C", ISO/IEC 9899:TC2, May 2005, <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/docs/n1124.pdf>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

### 1.2.2 Informative References

None.

## 1.3 Overview

This document defines the Claims Transformation Algorithm, which enables parsing, filtering, issuance and transformation of a set of input claims based on the input transformation rules.

The claims transformation rules language syntax specified in this document defines the syntax for transformation rules.

The Claims Transformation Algorithm essentially is a programmable transformation of claims.

This algorithm can be summarized at a high level as follows: Validate the transformation rules using the claims transformation rules language syntax and transform the input claims using the transformation rules based on the claims transformation processing rules.

## 1.4 Relationship to Protocols and Other Algorithms

This algorithm does not depend on any other protocols or algorithms.

## 1.5 Applicability Statement

This algorithm is applicable when programmable claims transformation needs to be performed on claims.

## 1.6 Standards Assignments


None.

## 2 Algorithm Details

### 2.1 Claims Transformation Algorithm Details

The Claims Transformation Algorithm is illustrated in the following state machine diagram, which consists of the following states:

- Initialization: Initializing the internal state (section 2.1.3).
- Claims Transformation Rules Syntax Evaluation: Validating that the given transformation rules text conforms to the claims transformation rules language syntax and generating transformation rules (section 2.1.4.2).
- Claims Transformation Rules Processing: Transforming input claims to output claims using transformation rules (section 2.1.4.3).
- Collect Output: Collecting the output claims from the transformation process.



**Figure 1: Claims Transformation Algorithm state machine**

The Claims Transformation Algorithm depends only on the given input per invocation and does not use any other state for its functioning. It maintains state only on a per-invocation basis and only for the duration of the invocation and does not preserve state beyond that scope.

See the following sections for more details on the various states of the state machine.

#### 2.1.1 Abstract Data Model

None.

## 2.1.2 Data Structures

The following data structure definitions are applicable to the current document:

**Claim:** A claim is defined as the 3-tuple of following values:

- TYPE: The type or identifier of the claim, represented as a UTF-16 string.
- VALUE\_TYPE: The value type of the claim VALUE, represented as a UTF-16 string.
- VALUE: A single claim value; its type depends on the VALUE\_TYPE.

This Claim is a single-valued claim.

**VALUE\_TYPE:** The VALUE\_TYPE field in a claim MUST have one of the following UTF-16 values or a case variation thereof:

- "uint64"
- "int64"
- "string"
- "boolean"

## 2.1.3 Initialization

The Claims Transformation Algorithm MUST be invoked by passing in the following parameters:

**InputClaims:** A set of zero or more claims (section 2.1.2) that need to be transformed.

**InputTransformationRulesText:** A set of transformation rules in UTF-16 format that define the transformation based on the language defined in Claims Transformation Rules Language Syntax (section 2.1.4.1).

The Claims Transformation Algorithm MUST generate the following output variables:

**OutputClaims:** This is a list of zero or more claims (section 2.1.2) returned by the Claims Transformation Algorithm when it finishes processing the given input.

**ReturnValue:** This variable holds the resulting value returned by this algorithm. The possible values are SUCCESS to indicate successful processing and FAILURE to indicate an error during the processing.

The Claims Transformation Algorithm MUST maintain state during processing in the following variables:

1. **InternalTransformationRules:** This is the representation of **InputTransformationRulesText** generated for Claims Transformation Rules Processing. This representation MUST contain the following:
  1. **InputTransformationRulesText**
  2. An ordered, hierarchical list of tags from the claims transformation rules language syntax that are arranged to match the given **InputTransformationRulesText** and the corresponding matching portion of **InputTransformationRulesText** for each tag.
2. **InternalEvaluationContext:** A list of claims on which the claims transformation rules processing operates.

3. **InternalOutputContext**: A list of claims that collects the output of claims transformation rules processing.

The Claims Transformation Algorithm MUST be initialized as follows:

1. **InternalTransformationRules** MUST be initialized by clearing it.
2. **InternalEvaluationContext** MUST be initialized by clearing it and then adding all **InputClaims** to it.
3. **InternalOutputContext** MUST be initialized by clearing it.
4. **OutputClaims** MUST be initialized by clearing it.
5. **ReturnValue** MUST be set to SUCCESS.

### 2.1.4 Processing Rules

The Claims Transformation Algorithm is invoked by a caller by providing **InputClaims** and the **InputTransformationRulesText** as indicated in Initialization (section 2.1.3). This algorithm continues processing until an error occurs or until successful completion.

The Claims Transformation Algorithm consists of the following processing steps.

1. Parse **InputTransformationRulesText** to validate the syntax against the claims transformation rules language syntax and generate **InternalTransformationRules** (section 2.1.4.2).
2. If evaluation in the previous step fails, set **ReturnValue** to FAILURE and **OutputClaims** to an empty list and exit this algorithm.
3. Perform processing steps detailed in Claims Transformation Rules Processing (section 2.1.4.3) on **InternalEvaluationContext** using **InternalTransformationRules**.
4. If an error occurs in the previous processing, set **ReturnValue** to FAILURE and **OutputClaims** to an empty list and exit this algorithm.
5. Set **ReturnValue** to SUCCESS, copy all the claims from the **InternalOutputContext** to **OutputClaims**, and exit this algorithm.

#### 2.1.4.1 Claims Transformation Rules Language Syntax

The claims transformation rules language is a context-free language defined following, using tokens and ABNF.

##### 2.1.4.1.1 (Updated Section) Language Terminals

The following table lists the complete set of terminal strings and associated language terminals used in the claims transformation rules language. These definitions MUST be treated as case insensitive. The terminal strings MUST be encoded in UTF-16.

String	Terminal
"=>"	IMPLY
","	SEMICOLON
":"	COLON
","	COMMA



String	Terminal
"."	DOT
"["	OBSOLETE BRACKET
"]"	CLOSE BRACKET
"("	OPEN BRACKET
")"	CLOSE BRACKET
"=="	EQ
"!="	NEQ
"=~"	REGEXP MATCH
"!~"	REGEXP NOT MATCH
"="	ASSIGN
"&&"	AND
"issue"	ISSUE
"type"	TYPE
"value"	VALUE
"valuetype"	VALUE TYPE
"claim"	CLAIM
"[_A-Za-z][_A-Za-z0-9]*"	IDENTIFIER
"\"[^\n]*\""	STRING
"uint64"	UINT64 TYPE
"int64"	INT64 TYPE
"string"	STRING TYPE
"boolean"	BOOLEAN TYPE
	NULL

### 2.1.4.1.2 (Updated Section) Language Syntax

The claims transformation rules language is specified here in ABNF form. This definition uses the terminals specified in the previous section as well as new ABNF productions defined here. The rules MUST be encoded in UTF-16. The string comparisons MUST be treated as case insensitive.

```

Ruleset = NULL
          / Rules
Rules     = Rule
          / Rule Rules
Rule     = Rulebody
Rulebody = (Conditions IMPLY Ruleaction SEMICOLON)

```

```

Conditions = NULL
            / Sel condition list
Sel condition list = Sel condition
                  / (Sel condition list AND Sel condition)
Sel condition = Sel condition body
               / (IDENTIFIER COLON Sel condition body)
Sel condition body = C SQ BRACKET Opt cond list C SQ BRACKET
Opt cond list = NULL
               / Cond list
Cond list = Cond
           / (Cond list COMMA Cond)
Cond = Value cond
      / Type cond
Type cond = TYPE Cond oper Literal expr
Value cond = (Val cond COMMA Val type cond)
            / (Val type cond COMMA Val cond)
Val cond = VALUE Cond oper Literal expr
Val type cond = VALUE TYPE Cond oper Value type literal
Claim prop = TYPE
            / VALUE
Cond oper = EQ
           / NEQ
           / REGEXP MATCH
           / REGEXP NOT MATCH
Literal expr = Literal
             / Value type literal
Expr = Literal
      / Value type expr
      / (IDENTIFIER DOT Claim prop)
Value type expr = Value type literal
                / (IDENTIFIER DOT VALUE TYPE)
Value type literal = INT64 TYPE
                  / UINT64 TYPE
                  / STRING TYPE
                  / BOOLEAN TYPE
Literal = STRING
Rule action = ISSUE O BRACKET Issue params C BRACKET
Issue params = Claim copy
              / Claim new
Claim copy = CLAIM ASSIGN IDENTIFIER
Claim new = Claim prop assign list
Claim prop assign list = (Claim value assign COMMA Claim type assign)
                       / (Claim type assign COMMA Claim value assign)
Claim value assign = (Claim val assign COMMA Claim val type assign)
                   / (Claim val type assign COMMA Claim val assign)
Claim val assign = VALUE ASSIGN Expr
Claim val type assign = VALUE TYPE ASSIGN Value type expr
Claim type assign = TYPE ASSIGN Expr

```

### 2.1.4.2 (Updated Section) Claims Transformation Rules Syntax Evaluation

Syntax evaluation MUST perform the following processing:

1. **InputTransformationRulesText** MUST be validated against the ABNF syntax definition of the claims transformation rules language to ensure conformity. Any failure MUST be considered an error, **ReturnValue** MUST be set to FAILURE, and the algorithm MUST exit.
2. The following validation MUST be performed on **InputTransformationRulesText**.
  1. Each **Sel condition** in **Sel condition list** either MUST use an IDENTIFIER unique among all IDENTIFIERS in the **Sel condition list** or MUST use no IDENTIFIER.
  2. If **Rule action** contains one or more IDENTIFIERS, then each of the IDENTIFIERS MUST have an identical matching IDENTIFIER in the **Condition** in the same **Rule**.


3. If either of the preceding validation steps fails, it MUST be considered an error. **ReturnValue** MUST be set to FAILURE, and the algorithm MUST exit.
3. The **InternalTransformationRules** variable MUST be populated with **InputTransformationRulesText**.
4. The **InternalTransformationRules** variable MUST be populated in a depth-first fashion with tags (production names and terminals) and the matching portion of **InputTransformationRulesText**.

### 2.1.4.3 (Updated Section) Claims Transformation Rules Processing

Claims transformation rules processing requires the **InternalTransformationRules** variable to be populated using **InputTransformationRulesText** and requires all other variables to be initialized (see section 2.1.3 and section 2.1.4.2).

Claims transformation rules processing uses an additional variable called **InternalMatchingClaimsList** to store temporary data during processing. Each **InternalMatchingClaimsList** is a list of claims that matches a **SelectionCondition** (section 2.1.4.3.4). **InternalMatchingClaimsLists** are created dynamically on a per-Rule (section 2.1.4.3.2) basis.

The following state diagram illustrates the logical processing flow, with error handling excluded. Any error encountered during the claims transformation rules processing MUST set **ReturnValue** to FAILURE, and the processing MUST immediately continue from the Processing End (section 2.1.4.3.10) state.



**Figure 2: Claims transformation state machine**

For the purposes of this section, processing is defined as **InternalTransformationRules** evaluation on the **InternalEvaluationContext** or **InternalTransformationRules** action taken using Matching Claims.

The processing MUST begin at the first tag in **InternalTransformationRules** and MUST proceed depth-first in the order in which the tags are placed.

The processing steps for the critical tags are specified in the following subsections. Those tags not listed MUST be treated as if they have no processing steps and MUST be ignored during processing.

#### 2.1.4.3.1 (Updated Section) Rule<sup>CS</sup>set

1. Set ReturnValue to SUCCESS.
2. If the Rule<sup>CS</sup>set is NULL, go to Processing End (section 2.1.4.3.10).
3. Process each Rule<sup>CS</sup> in the Rule<sup>CS</sup>set.

4. Go to Processing End (section 2.1.4.3.10).

#### 2.1.4.3.2 (Updated Section) Rule

1. Processing a *Rule* MUST perform the necessary operations using the **InternalEvaluationContext** variable.
2. Create as many **InternalMatchingClaimsList** variables as there are *SelfConditions* in this *Rule*, and initialize them by clearing them.
3. Process the *Conditions* tag in this *Rule*.
4. If the *Conditions* evaluates to TRUE, the *RuleAction* in this *Rule* MUST be processed using all the n-tuples of claims generated by the *Conditions*.

#### 2.1.4.3.3 (Updated Section) Conditions

1. This processing step MUST evaluate to TRUE or FALSE.
2. When *Conditions* evaluates to TRUE, a list of zero or more matching n-tuples of claims, where n is the number of *SelfConditions* in the *Conditions*, MUST be returned.
3. If the *Conditions* is NULL, the processing of this production must stop and the evaluation result MUST be returned as TRUE with no entries in the matching n-tuples.
4. The following processing applies when *Conditions* is not NULL:
  1. When all *SelfConditions* in the *Conditions* evaluate to TRUE, the *Conditions* MUST evaluate to TRUE; else the *Conditions* MUST evaluate to FALSE.
  2. Each *SelfCondition* MUST evaluate to TRUE when at least one claim in the **InternalEvaluationContext** matches it.
  3. The process of matching each *SelfCondition* MUST determine all claims in the **InternalEvaluationContext** that match it. The resulting list of matching claims MUST be stored in the **InternalMatchingClaimsList** corresponding to that *SelfCondition*.
  4. If *Conditions* evaluates to TRUE, there MUST exist an n-tuple of claims from the **InternalEvaluationContext** that matches each of the constituent "n" *SelfConditions*. The n-tuple can contain duplicate claims; that is, one claim can match one or more *SelfConditions*.
  5. Evaluation of *Conditions* MUST determine all possible unique n-tuples of claims from the **InternalEvaluationContext** that match each of the constituent "n" *SelfConditions*.
  6. Return the list of n-tuples of claims.

#### 2.1.4.3.4 (Updated Section) SelfCondition

1. This processing step MUST fill one **InternalMatchingClaimsList** with zero or more claims from the **InternalEvaluationContext**. If an IDENTIFIER is used in the *SelfCondition*, the **InternalMatchingClaimsList** MUST be tagged by the string represented by the IDENTIFIER.
2. **InternalMatchingClaimsList** is filled by evaluating *OptConditionList*.
3. If the **InternalMatchingClaimsList** contains zero claims, the returned evaluation result MUST be FALSE; else it MUST be TRUE.

#### 2.1.4.3.5 (Updated Section) OptConditionList

1. If *OptCondList* is NULL, the **InternalMatchingClaimsList** MUST be filled with all the claims in the **InternalEvaluationContext**. The processing of this production MUST stop, and **InternalMatchingClaimsList** must be returned as the evaluation result.
2. The following processing rules apply when *OptCondList* is not NULL:
  1. The following processing MUST start from the first claim in the **InternalEvaluationContext**, and all the claims MUST be processed.
  2. If all the *Conds* in this *OptCondList* evaluate to TRUE for a claim in the **InternalEvaluationContext**, the claim MUST be added to the **InternalMatchingClaimsList**.

Return the **InternalMatchingClaimsList** as the evaluation result.

### 2.1.4.3.6 (Updated Section) Cond

1. This processing step MUST return TRUE if a given claim matches the current *Cond*, and FALSE otherwise.
2. The TYPE, VALUE, and VALUE TYPE in a *Cond* MUST be replaced by the current claim's TYPE, VALUE, and VALUE TYPE, respectively (section 2.1.2). The current claim's TYPE and VALUE TYPE MUST always be treated as STRING TYPE. The current claim's VALUE MUST be interpreted based on its VALUE TYPE.
3. The right side of *CondOper* in the *Cond* MUST be convertible to the same type as the operand on the left side of the *CondOper*; otherwise, the *Cond* MUST return the evaluation result as FALSE. Converting STRING TYPE variables to other types MUST be performed as specified in [ISO/IEC-9899] section 7.20.1.4.
4. The *CondOper* in the *Cond* MUST be interpreted based upon the type of the operand on the left side of the *CondOper*, as shown in the following table.

	INT64_TYPE	UINT64_TYPE	BOOLEAN_TYPE	STRING_TYPE
EQ	Signed integer equality comparison.	Unsigned integer equality comparison.	BOOLEAN equality comparison. Unsigned integers MUST be interpreted as BOOLEAN values as follows: 0 == FALSE (!0) == TRUE	Case-insensitive, NULL terminated Unicode-string comparison, excluding terminating NULLs for equality.
NEQ	Negation of EQ comparison.	Negation of EQ comparison.	Negation of EQ comparison.	Negation of EQ comparison.
REGEXP MATCH	Not valid.	Not valid.	Not valid.	Regular expression match of NULL terminated Unicode strings.
REGEXP NOT MATCH	Not valid.	Not valid.	Not valid.	Negation of REGEXP MATCH.

5. If the current processing encounters a *CondOper* and the type combination is identified as "Not Valid" in the preceding table, the processing MUST return the result of the evaluation as FALSE.
6. Return the result of the evaluation of *Cond*, comparing the operands based on interpretation of the *CondOper* from the preceding table.

#### 2.1.4.3.7 (Updated Section) RuleAction

1. Successful processing of this step MUST result in creation of one or more claims.
2. *RuleAction* acts on each of the n-tuples generated by *Conditions* in the same *Rule*.
3. If this *RuleAction* contains a *ClaimCopy* sub-tag, *ClaimCopy* (section 2.1.4.3.8) MUST be processed using the matching n-tuples as input and the resulting claims collected as output.
4. If this *RuleAction* contains a *ClaimNew* sub-tag, *ClaimNew* (section 2.1.4.3.9) MUST be processed using the matching n-tuples as input and the resulting claims collected as output.

The above processing MUST generate one or more claims. The generated claims MUST be appended to the **InternalEvaluationContext** and the **InternalOutputContext**.

#### 2.1.4.3.8 (Updated Section) ClaimCopy

1. This processing step MUST create one claim per matching n-tuple.
2. The new claim MUST be a copy of the claim in the matching n-tuple indicated by the IDENTIFIER reference.

#### 2.1.4.3.9 (Updated Section) ClaimNew

1. Successful processing of this step MUST create one or more claims.
2. If no matching n-tuples are presented to this processing step, the contained assignments MUST have only *Literals* and MUST NOT have any IDENTIFIER references. In this case, only one claim is generated.
3. If matching n-tuples are presented, this processing step MUST create one claim per matching n-tuple, using *Literals* and/or IDENTIFIER references to the matching n-tuple.
4. Assignments to TYPE, VALUE, and VALUE TYPE MUST be interpreted as assignments to TYPE, VALUE and VALUE TYPE, respectively, of each of the newly created Claims; see section 2.1.2.
5. If the *Expr* on the right side of the *ASSIGN* is a *Literal*, it MUST be interpreted based on the type on the left side of *ASSIGN*. When the left side of the *Expr* is not STRING TYPE, the *Literal* MUST be converted in accordance with the rules specified in [ISO/IEC-9899] section 7.20.1.4. If the right side of the *Assign* is not a *Literal*, type conversion MUST NOT be performed.
6. Each newly created claim MUST adhere to the definition in section 2.1.2; else it MUST be considered invalid.
7. If any type mismatches or errors in type conversions are encountered by *ASSIGN*, or if an invalid claim is generated, processing MUST stop, and a processing error MUST be indicated.

#### 2.1.4.3.10 Processing End

- If **ReturnValue** is set to SUCCESS, copy the claims in the **InternalOutputContext** to **OutputClaims** and exit the algorithm.
- If **ReturnValue** is set to FAILURE, clear **OutputClaims** and exit the algorithm.

### 3 Algorithm Examples

This section contains some examples of the Claims Transformation Algorithm.

#### 3.1 (Updated Section) Processing "Allow All Claims" Rule

```
Input:

InputTransformationRulesText: C1:[]=> ISSUE(Claim=C1);
InputClaims: {(TYPE = "type1", VALUE = 5, VALUE TYPE = "int64"),
              (TYPE = "type2", VALUE = "example", VALUE TYPE = "string")}

Output:
OutputClaims: {(TYPE = "type1", VALUE = 5, VALUE TYPE = "int64"),
              (TYPE = "type2", VALUE = "example", VALUE TYPE = "string")}
ReturnValue: SUCCESS.
```

#### 3.2 (Updated Section) Processing "Deny Some Claims" Rule

```
Input:

InputTransformationRulesText: C1:[type != "Type1"] => ISSUE (Claim = C1);
InputClaims: {(TYPE = "type1", VALUE = 5, VALUE TYPE = "uint64"),
              (TYPE = "type2", VALUE = "example", VALUE TYPE = "string"),
              (TYPE = "type3", VALUE = -33, VALUE TYPE = "int64")}

Output:
OutputClaims: { (TYPE = "type2", VALUE = "example", VALUE TYPE = "string"),
              (TYPE = "type3", VALUE = -33, VALUE TYPE = "int64")}
ReturnValue: SUCCESS.
```

#### 3.3 (Updated Section) Processing "Issue always" Rule

```
Input:

InputTransformationRulesText: => ISSUE (type="type1", VALUE=false, VALUE TYPE="boolean");
InputClaims: {}

Output:
OutputClaims: {(TYPE = "type1", VALUE = false, VALUE TYPE = "boolean")}

ReturnValue: SUCCESS.
```

#### 3.4 (Updated Section) Processing an Invalid Rule

```
Input:

InputTransformationRulesText: C1:[type] => ISSUE (Claim = C1);
InputClaims: {(TYPE = "type1", VALUE = 5, VALUE TYPE = "uint64"),
              (TYPE = "type2", VALUE = "example", VALUE TYPE = "string")}

Output:
OutputClaims: {}
ReturnValue: FAILURE.
```



## **4 Security**

### **4.1 Security Considerations for Implementers**

None.

### **4.2 Index of Security Parameters**

None.

## 5 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include updates to those products.

- Windows Server 2012 operating system
- Windows Server 2012 R2 operating system
- Windows Server 2016 operating system
- Windows Server operating system
- Windows Server 2019 operating system

Exceptions, if any, are noted in this section. If an update version, service pack or Knowledge Base (KB) number appears with a product name, the behavior changed in that update. The new behavior also applies to subsequent updates unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms "SHOULD" or "SHOULD NOT" implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term "MAY" implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

## 6 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to this document since the last release. Changes are classified as Major, Minor, or None.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements.
- A document revision that captures changes to protocol functionality.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **None** means that no new technical changes were introduced. Minor editorial and formatting changes may have been made, but the relevant technical content is identical to the last released version.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact dohelp@microsoft.com.

Section	Description	Revision class
2.1.4.1.1 Language Terminals	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in 11 instances of ABNF Terminal strings in the table for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.1.2 Language Syntax	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in the ABNF grammar of this topic for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.2 Claims Transformation Rules Syntax Evaluation	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in the ABNF grammar of this topic for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3 Claims Transformation Rules Processing	Replaced underscore ("_") character with hyphen ("-") in one instance of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.1 Rule-set	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in two instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.2 Rule	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in two instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.3 Conditions	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in eight instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.4 Sel-condition	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in eight instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.5 Opt-cond-list	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in three	Minor

Section	Description	Revision class
	instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	
2.1.4.3.6 Cond	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in fifteen instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.7 Rule-action	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in seven instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
2.1.4.3.9 Claim-new	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in three instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
3.1 Processing "Allow All Claims" Rule	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in four instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
3.2 Processing "Deny Some Claims" Rule	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in five instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
3.3 Processing "Issue always" Rule	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in two instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor
3.4 Processing an Invalid Rule	Replaced underscore ("_") characters with hyphens ("-") in two instances of ABNF grammar in this topic, for consistency with ABNF updates in section 2.1.4.1.2 and for compliance with RFC5234.	Minor

## 7 Index

### A

Abstract data model 6  
Applicability 5

### C

Change tracking 19  
Claims Transformation  
    overview 6

### D

Data model - abstract 6  
Data structures 7

### E

Examples  
    allow all claims rule 16  
    deny some claims rule 16  
    invalid rule 16  
    issue always rule 16  
    overview 16  
    Processing "Allow All Claims" Rule 16  
    Processing "Deny Some Claims" Rule 16  
    Processing "Issue always" Rule 16  
    Processing an Invalid Rule 16

### G

Glossary 4

### I

Implementer - security considerations 17  
Index of security parameters 17  
Informative references 5  
Initialization 7  
Introduction 4

### N

Normative references 5

### O

Overview (synopsis) 5

### P

Parameters - security index 17  
Processing "Allow All Claims" Rule example 16  
Processing "Deny Some Claims" Rule example 16  
Processing "Issue always" Rule example 16  
Processing an Invalid Rule example 16  
Processing rules 8  
    allow all claims example 16  
    deny some claims example 16  
    invalid rule example 16

issue always example 16  
Product behavior 18

## **R**

References  
  informative 5  
  normative 5  
Relationship to protocols and other algorithms 5

## **S**

Security  
  implementer considerations 17  
  parameter index 17  
Standards assignments 5  
States 6  
Structures 7

## **T**

Tracking changes 19